

CLACKAMAS COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Policy Session Worksheet

Presentation Date: June 10, 2025 **Approx. Start Time:** 11:30 AM **Approx. Length:** 30 minutes

Presentation Title: 2025 Clackamas County Resident Community Survey Results

Department: Public and Government Affairs

Presenters: Tonia Holowetzki, PGA Director
Mike Riley, Riley Research Associates

WHAT ACTION ARE YOU REQUESTING FROM THE BOARD?

This is an informational session only.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Every two years, PGA contracts with an outside market research firm to conduct a scientific survey of county residents, measuring issues of concern, awareness of access to county government, media sources, trustworthiness, and more. This session highlights many of the survey's key findings and presents the data ahead of the Board's upcoming retreat.

PGA uses this information to track trends over time, helping shape our communication strategies and informing the BCC about (1) community perceptions of the most pressing issues facing the county; (2) how residents consume information; and (3) their perceptions of the reliability and trustworthiness of county information. The data helps PGA improve communication and awareness regarding county services and programs, as well as highlight county successes.

Key findings

It's essential to distinguish between the results presented in the first two graphs in the Executive Overview of the summary report (Attachment A). The first chart reflects what residents shared, unaided, as their top-of-mind most important issues. The second chart reflects the respondents' responses to a list of issues read to them. For the most part, the responses in both charts align, with a few variations, including a more extensive list of issues presented in the second chart. A few highlights include:

- Homelessness and poverty continue to be the most important issues for residents; however, the 2025 data shows a notable drop from 2023. This may indicate that the county's on-the-ground programmatic efforts and communication strategies are having an impact on improved perceptions.
- Concerns about crime remain a top issue, but are also dropping considerably.
- Concerns about jobs, the economy, and inflation also dropped slightly, but continue to remain a top issue.
- The lack of affordable housing, traffic issues, road and water infrastructure, environmental and climate issues, wildfires, and emergency management have increased since the last survey in 2023.
- With respect to information sources, residents use various sources to learn about Clackamas County, with information coming directly from the county as the primary source.
- Information from the county continues to be perceived as trustworthy, with 81% of respondents indicating the information shared is very or somewhat trustworthy in 2025, slightly less than in 2023, at 84%.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS (current year and ongoing)

Is this item in your current budget? ☒ YES ☐ NO

What is the cost? \$ 21,500

What is the funding source? PGA operational budget

STRATEGIC PLAN ALIGNMENT

PGA's communications program provides multimedia outreach, information, consultation, and coordination services to the people of Clackamas County, their Board of County Commissioners, and other countywide elected officials, enabling them to access services, influence public policy, build connections and trust with their government, and impact the future of their community.

While the survey addresses all five strategic priorities of Performance Clackamas, it primarily falls under the category of 'Build public trust through good government'.

LEGAL/POLICY REQUIREMENTS

N/A

PUBLIC/GOVERNMENTAL PARTICIPATION

This survey included a representative sample of 400 residents from Clackamas County.

OPTIONS:

N/A

RECOMMENDATION

None, this is an informational session only.

ATTACHMENTS

- Attachment #1: 2025 Resident Communications Benchmark Survey Report
- Attachment #2: 2025 Resident Communications Benchmark Survey Crosstabulation Report

SUBMITTED BY

Division Director/Head Approval _____

Department Director/Head Approval _____

County Administrator Approval _____

For information on this issue or copies of attachments, please contact _____ @ 503-_____
--



***RESIDENT COMMUNICATIONS
BENCHMARK SURVEY***

**SUMMARY REPORT
APRIL 14, 2025**

Michael J Riley, APR, PRC
Riley Research Associates

RESEARCH | INSIGHT | KNOWLEDGE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Overview 1

Introduction.....6

Methodology6

Results.....7


Demographics19

Appendix: Telephone Questionnaire




EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

- Some 400 residents of Clackamas County were surveyed in March of 2025, for their opinions regarding a variety of communications questions, as well as policy awareness and perception issues. Much of this survey benchmarked questions from a similar 2023 survey of residents.
- Financial concerns remain among Clackamas County residents' concerns, including homelessness and poverty at 23%, down from 32% in 2023. Problems associated with jobs, the economy, and inflation remain a major concern at 20%, virtually unchanged from 21% in 2023, and the lack of affordable housing edged up to 12%, from 10% two years ago.




- Crime and lack of affordable housing were tied for the fourth at 8%, but Crime is down significantly from 20% and affordable housing is down slightly from 11%, in 2023. Concern for the environment and traffic were tied at 7%, both up somewhat from 2023.
- The cost of living and inflation were of particular concern to Hispanics (29%), other non-White residents (48%), immigrants (27%), and those in unincorporated areas (26%). As might be expected, lower income residents had more concerns about the cost of living as well. Homelessness was of significantly greater concern to those living in cities, compared to those in unincorporated areas (29% versus 15%).

After hearing a list of potential issues, the concern for homelessness / poverty was once again the top response (at 24%, down from 36% in 2023). But the issue of cost of living / inflation is the second highest at 22% (in 2023, “cost of living” was not asked, while “inflation” was included with “jobs / economy.”) Jobs and the economy was third again this year, at 13%.




Crime and lack of affordable housing were tied for the fourth at 8%, but crime is down significantly from 20% and affordable housing is down slightly from 11%, in 2023. Concern for the environment and traffic were tied at 7%, both up somewhat from 2023.


Including the first and second most-important issues facing the county, residents overwhelmingly cited the cost of living and inflation (43%), with homelessness and poverty a close second (37%). Jobs and the economy was third (23%), followed by housing issues (19%), then crime (17%).



- Most residents remain very much or somewhat aware of opportunities to be involved (69%, up from 67%), while about one-third (32%) remain largely unaware of such opportunities. Those with more education tended to have higher awareness, while renters, low-income households, Hispanics, Spanish-speakers, and immigrants tended to have the lowest awareness.
- As to reasons for not participating in county government, the responses were similar to those from 2023. A lack of time continues to represent the largest share of responses (to 58%, from 55% in 2023), but an increasing number expressed a lack of interest (up to 42% from 26%) or that they do not believe their participation is welcome or that participation would make any difference (up to 32% from 22%).




- This year, we found that the largest share of residents gets at least some of their local news from local television (32%, up from 27%). Information from Clackamas County sources received significantly more responses this year (23%, up from 7%). Social media continues to be an important source (23%), as does information online news sources (11%) and “other” online sources (internet search engines) (9%). Printed newspapers still serve some (9%) and radio serves a few (3%).




Television news remains especially important to seniors (38%), as well as less educated residents (45%), and those without children (35%). Social media remains disproportionately useful to younger residents while the county information sources are of greater importance to those with more education and those with a disability.

This year, with additional follow-up probing, we determined that residents use many sources to learn about Clackamas County, with information direct from the county representing the single-biggest source (55%), including mailers (34%), the website (17%), and emails (15%).




Television news (and KGW in particular) had the highest percentage of first mentions and is the second-most important source overall (49%). The total number of mentions for social media was 29%, of which Facebook was more than two-thirds (22%). Print news media remains a factor (28%) followed by online news (21%), internet search engines (13%), then radio (7%).

When asked about residents' first preference for information about the county, Clackamas County's information sources were those most-preferred (33%). But social media outpaced television news as the second most-preferred information source (21% and 15%). Online search engines were tied with television (15%), followed by print media (7%) and online news sources (5%).



- County sources are the preferred source among 53% of those with a disability and 43% of those age 50+. County sources are the least preferred among renters (15%), those under 50 (24%), non-Whites (24%), and Spanish speakers (25%).
- About six-of-ten residents said they had visited the county’s website (61%), down slightly from 67% in 2023, with about the same proportions visiting weekly-to-monthly (18% this year versus 19% last time). A slightly larger percentage said they never visit the website (39%, up from 33% in 2023).
- There was a slight decline in the percent who said they found information from the county “very trustworthy” (23%, down from 30%), but there was an up-tick in the percent who said, “somewhat trustworthy” (58%, up from 54%). On the positive side, only 2% now think information from the county may not be trustworthy (down from 6% in 2023).



- Regarding the value of various sources of county information, the perceived value of the county website is increasing, with 27% calling it “very valuable,” which is now equal to the value of social media (which was virtually unchanged from 2023 at 26%). About the same percent as previously highly value the emails from the county (21%), some 14% of residents highly value the ClackCo Service Guide, and 8% said they would highly value an upcoming county podcast, with most taking a wait-and-see attitude.



INTRODUCTION

Riley Research Associates (RRA) was asked to conduct a scientific poll to measure residents' perceptions about the Clackamas County communications and a variety of other issues. For most questions, this study can be considered a benchmark survey as it closely mirrored the one conducted in 2023, so that changes in residents' awareness and perceptions could be compared. Riley Research Associates surveyed representative samples of those residing in both incorporated cities and unincorporated areas, including Census Designated Places (CDPs), throughout the county.



METHODOLOGY

The telephone survey was a representative scientific study among 400 adult county residents. A sample of 400 produces information considered accurate to within a margin of error of +/-5%, at a 95% level of confidence. The results are evaluated in terms of various demographic sub-groups, so the margin of error for the subgroups are therefore larger than those of the sample as a whole.

The questionnaire was developed jointly with Riley Research and county communications professionals. It included questions about awareness and perceptions of current issues, preferences, opinions, and demographics. The sample was monitored to ensure that it was proportionally representative of Clackamas County, Oregon. The report includes analyses of residents related to age, gender, home ownership, city and unincorporated residents, as well as racial make-up, and other characteristics.

With approximately 10% of the county identifying as Hispanic in the most recent U.S. Census, the sample includes 13% who identified as Hispanic, and 37 of those 52 interviews were conducted in Spanish.

The following is a question-by-question summary of the findings, based on in-depth analysis by demographics and attention called to those questions where significant differences exist. A copy of the questionnaire follows this report. A separate cross-tabulation report is also available.



RESULTS

Q1. What is the most important issue you see facing Clackamas County at this time? (Unaided responses; coded for tabulation purposes.)

Financial concerns remain among Clackamas County residents' concerns, including homelessness and poverty at 23%, down from 32% in 2023. Problems associated with jobs, the economy, and inflation remain a major concern at 20%, virtually unchanged from 21% in 2023, and the lack of affordable housing edged up to 12%, from 10% two years ago.

References to the issue of crime were down significantly this year to 12% from 19% in 2023, but mentions of traffic increased to 11% from just 2% two years ago. Also up this year were concerns about roads and water infrastructure (to 9% from 3%), and comments about taxes and spending (to 7% from 0% in 2023). The issue of climate or the environment was relatively unchanged at 6%.

Younger residents were especially concerned about affordable housing (at 19% versus 6% among older residents). Those living in cities and women were especially concerned about homelessness (30% and 26%, respectively). Those who participated in Spanish and Latino residents among those most concerned about jobs and the economy (30% and 21%, respectively).

	2023	2025
	393	400
Homelessness / poverty	32%	23%
Jobs / economy / inflation	21	20
Crime	19	12
Lack of affordable housing	10	12
Traffic	2	11
Roads / Water infrastructure	3	9
Taxes / Government spending	0	7
Environment / Climate	4	6
Land use / Overdevelopment	1	4
Mental health	<1	3
Wildfires	1	2

Miscellaneous Responses
Corruption in government (3)
Public transportation (3)
Racism / DEI and Equality (2)
Constitution
Lack of warming shelters. Lack of resources for domestic violence survivors, and people who are generally helpless because of various reasons.
Proper services and amenities in rural areas

Q2a. Now I'm going to read you a list of some issues and topics that Clackamas County may be facing (randomized): So, thinking about all the issues I mentioned, as well as any issues you named, which do you believe is the single-most important issue facing Clackamas County?

After hearing a list of potential issues, the concern for homelessness / poverty was once again the top response (at 24%, down from 36% in 2023). But the issue of cost of living / inflation is the second highest at 22% (in 2023, "cost of living" was not asked, while "inflation" was included with "jobs / economy"). Jobs / economy is third at 13%.

Crime and lack of affordable housing were tied for the fourth at 8%, but crime is down significantly from 20% and affordable housing is down slightly from 11%, in 2023. Concern for the environment and traffic were tied at 7%, both up somewhat from 2023.

The cost of living and inflation were of particular concern to Hispanics (29%), other non-White residents (48%), immigrants (27%), and those in unincorporated areas (26%). As might be expected, lower income residents had more concerns about the cost of living as well. Homelessness was of significantly greater concern to those living in cities, compared to those in unincorporated areas (29% versus 15%).

First Response	2023	2025
	400	
Homelessness / poverty	36%	24%
Cost of living / inflation	n/a*	22
Jobs / economy	14	13
Crime	20	8
Lack of affordable housing	11	8
Environment / climate	4	7
Traffic	2	7
Roads / water infrastructure	8	6
Wildfires / emergency mgt.	2	3
Land use planning	2	2
Mental health / addiction	1	2
Code enforcement	0	1

*In 2023, "Cost of living" was not asked, and "Inflation" was included with "Jobs/Economy."

Q2b. Which issue is second?

The second most-important issues were similar to the first responses. The cost of living is a major concern (21%) as is homelessness (13%), lack of affordable housing (11%) and jobs (10%).

Jobs and the economy came up more often among men compared to women (14% versus 7%). Among Spanish-speaking residents, the cost of living was again a top concern (the second-biggest concern among 35%).

	2023	2025
2 nd Most Important	398	400
Cost of living / inflation	n/a*	21
Homelessness / poverty	17	13
Lack of affordable housing	15	11
Jobs / economy	23	10
Crime	14	9
Wildfire	5	8
Road / Water infrastructure	5	8
Environmental issues	8	7
Traffic	7	7
Mental health	2	4
Land use planning	3	3
Code enforcement	0	1

Q2a. + 2B. (Total: Combined Responses)

This year, looking at all of the responses combined, the issues of greatest concern remained relatively consistent, led by the cost of living (43%), followed by homelessness and poverty (37%). Third was jobs and the economy (23%) followed by affordable housing (19%), then crime and safety (16%). Tied for sixth place (mentioned by 14%) were: traffic, the environment, and roads/water infrastructure.

Demographically, non-Whites and immigrants expressed the greatest concerns about the cost of living (58% and 48%, compared to 40% among Whites), as did 71% of those with disabilities.

	Total	Total	Total
	400	400	400
	First issue	Second issue	All issues (1 st and 2 nd)
Cost of living / inflation	22%	21%	43%
Homelessness / poverty	24	13	37
Jobs / economy	13	10	23
Lack of affordable housing / high rents	8	11	19
Crime / safety	8	9	16
Traffic	7	7	14
Environment / climate change	7	7	14
Roads / infrastructure	6	8	14
Wildfires, emergency management	3	8	11
Mental health / addiction services	2	4	6
Land use planning	2	3	5
Code enforcement	<1	<1	1

Q3. Clackamas County promotes many ways for residents to become involved in the county government decision process, whether in-person or online. Prior to this call, were you very much aware, somewhat aware, not very aware, or not at all aware of these opportunities?

Most residents remain very much or somewhat aware of opportunities to be involved (69%, up from 67%), while about one-third (32%) remain largely unaware of such opportunities.

Those with more education tended to have higher awareness, while renters, low-income households, Hispanics, Spanish-speakers, and immigrants tended to have the lowest awareness.

	2023	2025
	400	400
Very much	16%	12%
Somewhat	51	57
Not much	23	22
Not at all	9	10

Q4. What are your reasons for not participating in county decisions? (Multiple responses accepted)

The nature of the responses was very similar to those from 2023. A lack of time continues to represent the largest share of responses (to 58%, from 55% in 2023), but an increasing number expressed a lack of interest (up to 42% from 26%). And an increasing number said they do not believe their participation is welcome or that participation would make any difference (up to 32% from 22%). One in four cited a job conflict (25%), while others cited various inconveniences.

Those with children were once again especially likely to cite “lack of time” (73%, up from 71%), but that excuse was also cited by 73% of those with a college degree.

	2023	2025
	400	400
Lack of time	55%	58%
Not interested	26	42
Participation not welcome / Will not make difference	22	32
Job conflicts	13	25
Health issues	8	11
Meeting location	10	10
Fixed meeting times	11	6
Lack of awareness on issue	3	4
Lack of childcare	4	3
Avoid confrontation	7	2
Lack of access to online options	4	1
Lack of transportation	2	1

Q5. Besides those mentioned, what other major issues or barriers prevent or discourage your participation in county decisions? (Verbatim responses)

Personal issues (2)
Difficulty navigating online platforms for virtual participation.
Hard to keep up with all the different committees and boards.
I feel like decisions are already made before the public has a say.
Lack of funds and resources to attend the meetings.
Limited accessibility.
Limited opportunities.
Attending school.
The county seems more focused on cities than rural areas.
The language of policy is not clear for normal people to understand.
The online options are helpful, but for me they can be confusing to use.
Decisions favor urban areas more than rural communities.
They are not doing what they are supposed to be doing.

Q6. How do you currently receive news or information about Clackamas County, if at all? (First response)

This year, by using an aided approach, and probing for various categories of sources, we found that the largest share of residents gets at least some of their local news from local television (32%, up from 27%). Information from Clackamas County sources received significantly more responses this year (23%, up from 7%). Social media continues to be an important source (23%), as does information online news sources (11%) and “other” online sources (internet search engines) (9%). Printed newspapers still serve some (9%) and radio serves a few (3%).

Television news remains especially important to seniors (38%), as well as lesser educated residents (45%), and those without children (35%). Social media remains disproportionately useful to younger residents while the county information sources are of greater importance to those with more education and those with a disability.

	2023	2025
	400	400
Television	27%	32%
Info from county	7	23
Social media	34	18
Online news	7	11
Printed newspapers	9	9
Online sources (other)		9
Radio	4	3

*In 2023 all online sources were grouped together.

Q6 / Q7 / Q6+Q7. What other news sources do you use for information about Clackamas County? (All mentions and responses)

This year, with additional follow-up probing, we determined that residents use many sources of information to learn about Clackamas County, with information from the county representing the single-biggest source (55%), including mailers (34%), the website (17%), and emails (15%).

Television news (and KGW in particular) had the highest percentage of first mentions and is the second-most important source overall (49%). The total number of mentions for social media was 29%, of which Facebook was more than two-thirds (22%). Print news media remains a factor (28%) followed by online news (21%), internet search engines (13%), then radio (7%).

Looking at all sources combined, the county’s information sources are used most by those with a college degree (63%), homeowners, those in the \$100k/year income bracket, and unincorporated residents (each 61%), as well as those under 50 and women (57% each).

Q6+Q7. (Continued) What other news sources do you use for information about Clackamas County?
(All mentions and responses)

	Total	Total	Total
	400	400	400
	First response	Other responses	All responses (First + Other)
<u>County</u>	23%	43%	55%
Mailers posted from the county	9	24	34
County website	6	11	17
County Facebook page	5	3	8
Emails from the county	3	12	15
ClackCo	1	2	3
County Twitter page	-	<1	<1
Official notices posted throughout county	-	<1	<1
<u>Television News</u>	32%	31%	49%
KGW / NBC	18	16	33
Clackamas County Community TV	8	2	10
KATU / ABC	4	7	11
KOIN / CBS	2	6	8
KPTV / FOX	2	5	7
<u>Social media (not county)</u>	13%	21%	29%
Facebook	10	12	22
YouTube	2	10	11
Twitter / X	1	2	3
Instagram	1	2	3
LinkedIn	-	2	2
Tik Tok	-	1	1
<u>Print news media</u>	9%	20%	28%
The Oregonian	7	17	24
Other printed newspaper	1	2	3
West Linn Tidings	1	1	2
Gresham Outlook	-	<1	<1
<u>Online news</u>	11%	12%	21%
Oregonlive.com	9	9	18
Oregon City News Online.com	1	2	3
Other online newspaper	1	1	1
Online newsletter from Oregon City Chamber of Commerce	<1	1	1

<u>Online pages</u>	9%	4%	13%
Internet search engines	8	4	12
Other online source	<1	<1	1
<u>Radio</u>	3%	5%	7%
KOPB	2	4	6
KUPL	1	1	1
KXL	-	1	1
KINK FM	<1	<1	1
<u>Other groups</u>	1%	5%	5%
Family and friends	1	5	5

Miscellaneous Responses

Other online newspapers	Other printed newspapers	Other online sources
Online news, unspecified (2)	Local newspaper, unspecified (8)	Newsbreak app
Milwaukie Review	Sandy Post	WhatsApp
Lake Oswego newsletter	Wilsonville Spokesman	
	Lake Oswego Review	

Q8. Of all the sources of information about the county, which is your first preference?
(New question)

In terms of residents' preferences, Clackamas County information sources are most-preferred (33%). But social media outpaced television news as the second most-preferred information source (21% and 15%). Online search engines were tied with television (15%), followed by print media (7%) and online news sources (5%).

County sources are the preferred source among 53% of those with a disability and 43% of those age 50+. County sources are the least preferred among renters (15%), those under 50 (24%), non-Whites (24%), Spanish speakers (25%).

Renters prefer to get their information from social media (38%) and online search engines (19%). Hispanics and non-English speakers prefer to get their information from social media (36% and 43%, respectively). Women prefer social media over men (26% versus 15%), while men are more likely than women to utilize search engines (19% versus 11%).

	Total
	367
County Sources	33%
Social media (not county)	21
Television news	15
Online pages (search engines)	15
Printed news media	7
Online news	5
Radio	1

Miscellaneous Responses

An app that has all the county services and updates (3)
News App (3)
Newsbreak app
Text alerts

Q9. How often do you visit the Clackamas County website, if ever?

About six-of-ten residents said they had visited the county's website (61%), down slightly from 2023 (67%), with about the same proportions visiting weekly to monthly (18% this year versus 19% last time). A slightly larger percentage said they never visit (39%, up from 33% in 2023).

As in the past, those most likely to visit the website were younger, more affluent, more educated, more likely to be homeowners, and more likely to have children. They are also more likely to be White, non-immigrant English speakers who do not live in the incorporated areas.

	2023	2025
	400	400
Weekly or more	5%	4%
Monthly	14	14
Less than monthly	48	43
Never	33	39

Q10. Clackamas County often shares information with residents in the county. Would you consider the information you receive from the county very trustworthy, somewhat trustworthy, not too trustworthy, or not at all trustworthy?

There was a slight decline in the percent who said, "very trustworthy" (23%, down from 30%), but there was an up-tick in the percent who said, "somewhat trustworthy" (58%, up from 54%). Only 2% think information from the county may not be trustworthy (down from 6% in 2023).

While there was little variation among demographic groups in terms of trust ratings, there continue to be groups who have no opinion on the matter. Those who said they "don't know" included 43% of those who participated in Spanish, 35% of Hispanics, 30% of renters, and 26% of those who live in an incorporated area.

	2023	2025
	400	400
Very trustworthy	30%	23%
Somewhat	54	58
Not much	2	1
Not at all	4	1
Don't Know / Refused	11	17

Q11. (If “not too trustworthy” or “not at all trustworthy”) Why is that? (Verbatims)

As only two percent of respondents rated the county as “untrustworthy,” there were few verbatims.

Q10	Q11
Not at all trustworthy	I don't see fair in it.
	They are not doing anything. They should be doing what they are supposed to do.
	They never work on what they say.
Not too trustworthy	Can't trust county politicians.
	They have a problem telling the truth.

Q12. Do you consider the following Clackamas County sources very valuable, somewhat valuable, not too valuable, or not valuable at all?

The value of the county website appears to be increasing, with 27% calling it “very valuable,” which is equal to the value of social media (26%) which is virtually unchanged, and about the same highly value emails from the county (21%). A relatively low percentage of residents highly value the Service Guide (14%) and just 8% said they would highly value a county podcast.

“Very Valuable”	2023	2025
	400	400
County website	22%	27%
Social media	27	26
Emails from county	18	21
ClackCo Service Guide	19	14
Podcast (new)	n/a	8

Social media channels

The 2025 results are similar to the 2023 results, except that fewer said, “not much” or “not at all” (just 16%, down from 23% in 2023). As in 2023, social media is “very important” to significantly larger percentages of younger residents, those with children in the household, renters, Hispanics (and those interviewed in Spanish), immigrants, lower income households, LGBTQ households, and to a slightly less extent, women. Residents of unincorporated areas embrace social media to a greater extent than those in cities (25% versus 20% said “very valuable”).

	2023	2025
	400	
Very	27%	26%
Somewhat	37	35
Not much	10	7
Not at all	13	9
Don't Know / Refused	14	22

County website

The county's website is also highly valued, and the demographic patterns were similar to those seen for social media for the most part. However, homeowners rely on the website more than renters (31% versus 16%, said "very valuable"), as did those in unincorporated areas (29% versus 22% of those in cities).

	2023	2025
	400	400
Very	22%	27%
Somewhat	38	36
Not much	13	5
Not at all	11	7
Don't Know / Refused	17	25

ClackCo Service Guide

The ClackCo Service Guide is valued more highly than the #ClackCo cited in 2023. More residents appear familiar with the source. Some 61% of residents find this source valuable, compared to 50% for #ClackCo in 2023.

	2023 #ClackCo	2025 ClackCo Svc Guide
	400	400
Very	18%	14%
Somewhat	32	47
Not much	8	12
Not at all	17	10
Don't Know / Refused	26	18

Emails from the county

Nearly two-thirds of residents find county emails "very" or "somewhat" valuable (64%), up significantly from 2023 (50%). Those who value email most include younger residents and those with children in the household.

	2023	2025
	400	400
Very	19%	21%
Somewhat	31	43
Not much	10	6
Not at all	12	7
Don't Know / Refused	28	24



DEMOGRAPHICS

D1. Languages spoken at home

	2023	2025
	400	400
English	98%	94%
Spanish	9	12
Other: Ukrainian, Vietnamese	1	4

D2. Age Group

	2023	2025
	400	400
Under 30	7%	11%
30-39	19	14
40-49	26	25
50-59	19	25
60+	30	25

D3. Children in household

	2023	2025
	400	400
Yes	34%	41%
No	66	58

D4. Own / rent home

	2023	2025
	400	400
Own	74%	71%
Rent / Lease	23	25
Refused	3	4

D5. Education

	2023	2025
	400	400
Less than HS	1%	2%
HS / GED	15	22
Trade school / Some college	39	38
Bachelor's	37	33
Masters or Higher or Multiple degrees	7	4
Refused	1	2

D6. Race or ethnicity

	2023	2025
	400	400
White	88%	81%
Hispanic / Latino	10	13
Asian	1	3
Black / African American	0	2
Miscellaneous	0	1
Refused	1	0

D7. Immigrant / Refugee (self or child)

	2023	2025
	400	400
Yes	10%	20%
No	88	78
Refused	2	2

D8. Identify with a disability

	2023	2025
	400	400
Yes	3%	5%
No	97	94

D9. Household income

	2023	2025
	400	400
Under 30k	5%	5%
30-50k	11	17
50-75k	15	29
75-100K	22	11
Above 100K	13	39
Refused	34	0

D10. Gender identity

	2023	2025
	400	400
Male / Cis Male	51%	46%
Female / Cis Female	49	53
Other / Trans	0	2

D11. Sexual Orientation

	2023	2025
	400	
Straight	96%	97%
Gay / Lesbian	1	1
Bisexual	1	2
Queer	<1	0
Refused	2	0

D12. Neighborhood (Incorporated cities versus unincorporated areas)

A concerted effort was made this year to achieve a more accurate split between the incorporated and unincorporated residents, as the unincorporated residents appeared underrepresented in 2023. This year we proactively coded those residing in zip codes defined as Census Designated Places (CDPs), as unincorporated, including those who were unsure.

	2023	2025
	400	400
Incorporated area	66%	61%
Unincorporated area	22	39
Unsure	12	0

D13. Language of interview

	2023	2025
	400	400
English	96%	91%
Spanish	4	9

D14. Zip code

	2023	2025
	400	
97004 Beavercreek	1%	4%
97009 Boring	2	5
97011 Mt Hood	<1	0
97013 Canby	8	7
97015 Clackamas	3	3
97017 Colton	1	3
97022 Eagle Creek	2	1
97023 Estacada	3	6
97027 Gladstone	3	2
97034 Lake Oswego	5	2
97035 Lake Oswego	4	3
97038 Molalla	6	5
97042 Mulino	1	2
97045 Oregon City	11	11
97055 Sandy	7	4
97067 Mt Hood	<1	1
97068 West Linn	9	5
97070 Wilsonville	7	3
97086 Happy Valley	7	5
97089 Damascus	5	4
97222 Milwaukie	10	6
97267 Oak Grove	9	14
Miscellaneous	0	4



APPENDIX: QUESTIONNAIRE

Clackamas County

Resident Communications Survey

Final Version 2.1 – 3-6-2025

Introduction

Hello, Clackamas County would like to hear your thoughts about county services and communications with residents with a short seven-minute poll.

(If necessary) The goal of the study is to serve you better, by learning more about your communications preferences. (If Spanish language or accent – offer Spanish option)

Q1. What are the most important issues you see facing Clackamas County at this time? (Unaided - Open-ended with likely options provided)

Q2. Now I'm going to read you a list of some of the issues and topics that Clackamas County may be facing (randomize):

- Crime
- Road maintenance
- Lack of affordable housing
- Natural disasters, including wildfires
- Cost of living / inflation
- Mental health/addiction services
- Homelessness and poverty
- Code enforcement
- Land use planning
- Jobs, the local economy
- Traffic
- The environment

Q2a. Thinking about all the issues I mentioned, as well as any issues you named, what do you believe is the single-most important issue facing Clackamas County?

Q2b. And which one is second?

Community Engagement

Q3. Clackamas County promotes many ways for residents to become involved in the county government decision process, whether in-person or online. These can include but are not limited to providing public comments to the Board of County Commissioners; attending Commission meetings; serving on advisory boards or other volunteer groups; engaging in county surveys; attending project open houses; and participating in town halls or other public meetings.

How aware were you of any of these opportunities, or others, prior to this phone call? Were you very much aware, somewhat aware, not very aware, or not at all aware of these opportunities?

Very much	Somewhat	Not much	Not at all	Refused
-----------	----------	----------	------------	---------

Q4. Now I'm going to read a list of some of the reasons people give for not participating in county decisions. As I read each one, please tell me if that's an issue or barrier that keeps you from participating. The first one is... (Rotate list) (Select all that apply):

Lack of time	1
Time of day meetings are scheduled	2
Location of meetings	3
Work or job conflicts	4
Lack of child care	5
Lack of access to online options	6
A desire to avoid confrontations	7
Health issues or concerns	8
Lack of transportation	9
Lack of interest	10
A sense that your participation will not be welcome, or make a difference	11

Q5. Besides those I mentioned, what other major issues or barriers prevent or discourage your participation in county decisions?

Communication Methods & Trustworthiness

Q6. How do you currently receive news or information about Clackamas County, if at all? (Do not read – Note first response) What specific source is that?

Q7. What other news sources do you use for information about Clackamas County? (Do not read - Note all that apply)

- Any television news sources?
- Any radio news sources?
- Any online news sources?
- Any social media sources?
- Do any other organizations provide you with sources of news or information about Clackamas County?

News Sources	Q6	Q7
Television news		
KGW TV		
KPTV		
KATU		
KOIN		
Community Television for Clackamas County		
Other TV News (specify)		
Radio news (unspecified)		
KOPB		
KINK FM		
KEX AM		
KPDQ		
KNRK		

KUPL		
KXL		
Other Radio News		
Online news sources		
Oregon Live		
Oregon City News Online		
Daily Oregon City Newsletter		
Other Newspapers Online (specify)		
Other online sources (non-county)		
Facebook		
Instagram		
Twitter / X		
TikTok		
LinkedIn		
YouTube		
Other online sources (specify)		
Printed newspapers		
The Oregonian		
West Linn Tidings		
Gresham Outlook		
Other printed newspapers (specify)		
Clackamas County Sources		
County Website		
Emails from the county		
General information in the mail		
County Facebook		
County Twitter / X		
County Instagram		
Other County social media (specify)		
ClackCo Services Guide (mailed to households)		
Official notices posted throughout the county		
Information from other organizations or groups		
Other organizations or groups (specify)		

Q8. Of all the potential sources of information about the county, which source would be your preference?

Q9. How often do you visit the Clackamas County website, if ever? (Read list – Select one)

Weekly or more often	1
Monthly	2
Less than monthly	3
Or Never	4

Q10. Clackamas County often shares information with residents in the county. Would you consider the information you receive from the county very trustworthy, somewhat trustworthy, not too trustworthy, or not at all trustworthy?_

Trustworthy	Somewhat	Not too	Not at all	Don't know / Ref
-------------	----------	---------	------------	------------------

Q11. (If “not too trustworthy” or “not at all trustworthy”) And why is that?

Q12. Do you consider the following Clackamas County sources very valuable, somewhat valuable, not too valuable, or not valuable at all? What about the county's ... (Read list)

Value of Sources	Very	Some	Not too	Not at all	DK / Ref
Social media channels, such as Facebook, Twitter and Nextdoor					
The county's primary website					
Annually mailed #ClackCo Services Guide					
Emails sent from Clackamas County					
A soon-to-be-launched county podcast					

Q13. Is there another way to reach you the county should be considering?

Demographics (Utilize list information, as available)

D1. Which languages are spoken in your home? (Select all that apply)

English	1
Spanish	2
Korean	3
Japanese	4
Russian	5
Other: (list)	6

D2. Please tell me when I mention your age group (read list):

Under 30	1
30-39	2
40-49	3
50-59	4
60+	5
Refused	6

D3. Do any children 18 or younger, live in your household?

Yes	1
No	2
Refused	3

D4. Do you own or rent your home?

Own	1
Rent	2
Refused	3

D5. What was the highest level of education you have had the opportunity to attain?

High school or less	1
High school degree or GED	2
Some college or Trade school	3
BA or BS degree	4
Masters degree / PhD / Multiple degrees	5
Refused	6

D6. What is your race or ethnicity? (Assist as needed – Accept multiple responses)

White / Caucasian	1
Hispanic / Latino	2
Asian	3
Native American, Alaskan or Pacific Islander	4
Black or African American	5
Other (list):	6
(Refused)	7

D7. Are you an immigrant or refugee, or the child of one?

Yes	1
No	2
Refused	3

D8. Do you identify as a person with a disability?

Yes	1
No	2
Refused	3

D9. May I ask if your household income is over or under \$50 thousand? (If under) Is it over or under \$30 thousand? (If over) Is it over or under \$75 thousand? (If over) Is it over or under \$100 thousand?

Under \$30,000	1
\$30,000 - \$50,000	2
\$50,001 - \$75,000	3
\$75,001 - \$100,000	4
\$100,001+	5

D10. Do you know whether your residence is in or outside of a city with its own police department and city hall?

Inside / Incorporated area	1
Outside / Unincorporated area	2
Not sure / Don't know / Refused	3

D11. Which of the following best describes your gender identify: male, female, transgender male, transgender female, non-binary or another identity?

Male / CIS Male	1
Female / CIS Female	2
Transgender male	3
Transgender female	4
Non-binary or Other	5

D12. What one identity best describes your sexual orientation? (Assist as needed)

Straight (Heterosexual)	1
Gay or Lesbian (Homosexual)	2
Bisexual	3
Pansexual	4
Queer	5
Other (list):	6
(Refused)	7

Close: Thank you for sharing your time, comments and insights. Have a good evening.

D13. (Indicate 5-digit zip code) ____ ____ ____ ____ ____

D14. (Indicate language used)

Conducted in English	1
Conducted in Spanish	2



***COMMUNICATIONS BENCHMARK
SURVEY
CROSSTABULATION REPORT***

APRIL 7, 2025

Clackamas County Resident Communications Survey 2025

Crosstabs

Q1. What is the most important issue you see facing Clackamas County at this time? (Verbatim with responses coded into categories)

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	400	200 50%	199 50%	162 41%	230 58%	285 71%	99 25%	94 24%	150 38%	150 38%	335 84%	52 13%	21 5%	81 20%	312 78%
Homelessness / poverty	23%	22%	24%	22%	23%	27%	13%	12%	25%	27%	24%	19%	5%	21%	23%
Crime / safety	12	10	15	9	14	13	12	13	12	13	13	8	5	11	13
Lack of affordable housing / high rents	12	19	6	16	10	11	18	11	13	13	12	12	24	14	12
Traffic	11	14	7	15	7	12	5	7	8	15	12	4	5	6	12
Jobs / economy	10	15	6	12	9	7	19	12	11	8	9	21	10	12	9
Cost of living / inflation	10	9	11	10	10	8	10	10	9	9	7	15	29	12	9
Roads / infrastructure	9	7	11	10	9	11	6	12	10	7	10	2	14	5	11
Healthcare	9	5	13	6	11	9	6	10	7	9	9	12	5	7	9
Taxes / govt spending	7	6	9	6	8	9	3	9	5	9	7	10	10	10	6
Education	7	12	3	9	6	5	16	5	9	6	7	8	14	6	7
Environment / climate change	6	7	5	7	5	6	5	5	7	6	7	2	5	2	7
Overdevelopment	4	3	5	3	4	5	2	2	3	6	4	-	-	2	4

RILEY RESEARCH ASSOCIATES

Research for Marketing, Public Relations, and Planning

Mental health / addiction services	3	3	4	2	3	3	5	4	4	2	3	6	-	6	3
Wildfires, emergency mgt.	2	2	3	3	2	3	1	5	1	2	3	-	-	-	3
Other	3	3	3	4	2	3	2	2	2	4	3	4	-	2	3
Cannot think of any	6	5	7	6	6	6	6	12	3	5	6	6	-	6	6
Chi Square		52.78 .001		20.34 .159		46.14 .001		38.06 .148			n/a			15.18 .438	

Q1. What is the most important issue you see facing Clackamas County at this time? (Verbatim with responses coded into categories)

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	400	21 5%	376 94%	87 22%	158 40%	155 39%	185 46%	211 53%	388 97%	11 3%	363 91%	37 9%	243 61%	157 39%
Homelessness / poverty	23%	19%	23%	18%	23%	24%	18%	26%	23%	18%	23%	19%	30%	11%
Crime / safety	12	14	12	13	14	10	11	13	12	27	13	8	13	11
Lack of affordable housing / high rents	12	5	13	16	11	12	17	8	12	9	12	16	13	11
Traffic	11	-	11	10	7	14	10	11	10	9	11	5	11	10
Jobs / economy	10	-	11	13	9	10	14	7	11	-	8	30	10	11
Cost of living / inflation	10	19	9	14	9	7	9	10	9	18	9	14	11	8
Roads / infrastructure	9	5	10	10	9	8	10	9	10	-	10	-	8	11
Healthcare	9	5	9	7	13	6	5	12	9	-	9	14	7	11
Taxes / govt spending	7	5	7	5	7	9	12	3	7	9	8	3	6	10
Education	7	-	7	7	10	5	6	9	7	-	7	11	6	9
Environment / climate change	6	-	6	3	9	5	5	7	6	-	7	-	6	6
Overdevelopment	4	5	4	3	2	6	3	5	4	-	4	-	2	6
Mental health / addiction services	3	-	3	1	3	5	4	3	3	18	3	5	4	2
Wildfires, emergency mgt.	2	-	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	-	2	-	2	2

RILEY RESEARCH ASSOCIATES

Research for Marketing, Public Relations, and Planning

Cannot think of any	6	38	4	8	3	7	5	6	6	-	6	8	7	4
Other	3	-	3	2	2	4	2	4	3	-	2	5	4	1
Chi Square		61.83 .001		32.63 .339			33.66 .004		20.52 .153		30.71 .010		29.78 .013	

% RILEY RESEARCH ASSOCIATES
Research for Marketing, Public Relations, and Planning

Q2a. Now I'm going to read you a list of some issues and topics that Clackamas County may be facing. So, thinking about all the issues I mentioned, as well as any issues you named, what do you believe is the single-most important issue facing Clackamas County?

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	400	200 50%	199 50%	162 41%	230 58%	285 71%	99 25%	94 24%	150 38%	150 38%	335 84%	52 13%	21 5%	81 20%	312 78%
Homelessness / poverty	24%	21%	26%	21%	25%	27%	16%	20%	21%	28%	24%	17%	24%	21%	24%
Cost of living / inflation	22	21	23	22	22	21	24	26	24	18	20	29	48	27	21
Jobs / economy	13	16	10	14	13	12	17	11	13	14	12	21	5	16	12
Lack of affordable housing / high rents	8	12	5	13	5	8	11	6	10	8	8	6	14	10	8
Crime / safety	8	6	10	5	9	5	14	10	9	5	7	12	5	10	7
Traffic	7	10	5	10	5	8	5	4	5	12	8	4	5	5	8
Environment / climate change	7	7	6	7	7	6	6	6	7	5	7	4	-	2	7
Roads / infrastructure	6	5	7	4	7	6	4	10	7	3	7	2	-	5	6
Wildfires / emergency mgt.	3	3	3	3	2	3	1	5	1	2	3	2	-	1	3
Mental health / addiction services	2	1	3	1	3	2	1	-	2	2	1	4	-	1	2
Land use planning	2	-	4	-	3	2	-	2	1	2	2	-	-	1	2
Code enforcement	<1	-	1	1	-	<1	-	-	-	1	<1	-	-	-	<1
Chi Square		27.68 .004		23.30 .016		20.22 .042		27.33 .199			n/a			7.29 .775	

RILEY RESEARCH ASSOCIATES

Research for Marketing, Public Relations, and Planning

Q2a. Now I'm going to read you a list of some issues and topics that Clackamas County may be facing (randomize): So, thinking about all the issues I mentioned, as well as any issues you named, what do you believe is the single-most important issue facing Clackamas County?

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	400	21 5%	376 94%	87 22%	158 40%	155 39%	185 46%	211 53%	388 97%	11 3%	363 91%	37 9%	243 61%	157 39%
Homelessness / poverty	24%	19%	24%	15%	25%	27%	18%	28%	24%	18%	24%	16%	29%	15%
Cost of living / inflation	22	48	20	28	24	17	23	21	22	9	21	27	19	26
Jobs / economy	13	-	14	11	13	14	16	11	13	9	12	27	14	12
Lack of affordable housing / high rents	8	5	9	11	8	7	12	5	9	-	8	8	9	7
Crime / safety	8	19	7	9	10	4	7	8	7	27	7	11	7	8
Traffic	7	-	8	7	2	13	8	7	7	-	8	3	7	8
Environment / climate change	7	-	7	7	9	4	6	6	6	9	7	3	6	8
Roads / infrastructure	6	-	6	7	4	7	6	6	5	18	6	-	5	6
Wildfires, emergency mgt.	3	10	2	3	1	3	1	4	2	9	2	3	2	4
Mental health / addiction services	2	-	2	-	3	1	1	3	2	-	2	3	1	3
Land use planning	2	-	2	1	2	2	3	1	2	-	2	-	2	2
Code enforcement	<1	-	<1	-	-	1	1	-	<1	-	<1	-	-	1
Chi Square		23.40 .016		38.18 .017			23.89 .013		14.26 .219		13.60 .256		15.66 .154	

Q2b. Which issue is second?

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	400	200 50%	199 50%	162 41%	230 58%	285 71%	99 25%	94 24%	150 38%	150 38%	335 84%	52 13%	21 5%	81 20%	312 78%
Cost of living / inflation	21%	22%	20%	20%	20%	19%	23%	20%	22%	19%	20%	29%	10%	21%	20%
Homelessness / poverty	13	9	17	9	16	15	8	12	14	13	14	15	5	12	13
Lack of affordable housing	11	12	11	11	10	11	12	10	13	11	10	12	24	11	11
Jobs / economy / inflation	10	12	9	11	10	9	15	13	12	5	9	12	24	17	9
Crime	9	9	8	9	8	10	5	12	7	8	9	8	10	6	9
Road maintenance	8	7	10	7	9	9	6	7	5	13	8	8	10	7	9
Wildfires	8	8	9	9	8	7	11	6	11	7	10	2	-	6	9
Traffic	7	9	5	10	4	7	5	3	8	8	7	4	10	9	6
Environmental issues	7	8	6	6	8	6	11	6	5	9	7	4	10	6	7
Mental health	4	3	5	3	4	5	2	4	3	5	4	4	-	2	4
Land use planning	3	4	2	4	2	3	1	5	1	3	3	4	-	1	3
Code enforcement	<1	-	1	1	-	<1	-	1	-	-	<1	-	-	-	<1
Chi Square		12.77 .309		13.31 .274		16.48 .124		29.31 .136			n/a			7.95 .718	

Q2b. Which issue is second?

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	400	21 5%	376 94%	87 22%	158 40%	155 39%	185 46%	211 53%	388 97%	11 3%	363 91%	37 9%	243 61%	157 39%
Cost of living / inflation	21%	24%	20%	20%	22%	20%	21%	20%	21%	18%	19%	35%	20%	21%
Homelessness / poverty	13	19	13	9	11	17	12	14	13	18	13	14	15	10
Lack of affordable housing	11	14	11	10	13	10	12	10	11	18	11	14	11	11
Jobs / economy / inflation	10	10	10	14	10	8	14	7	11	-	10	16	10	11
Crime	9	-	9	9	10	6	7	10	9	9	9	5	9	8
Road maintenance	8	14	8	6	8	10	9	8	8	9	8	8	8	9
Wildfires	8	5	9	10	11	4	6	9	9	-	9	-	8	9
Traffic	7	-	7	6	5	9	9	5	7	9	7	3	7	6
Environmental issues	7	-	7	8	4	8	4	9	7	-	7	3	7	7
Mental health	4	14	3	5	3	5	1	6	4	9	4	-	5	3
Land use planning	3	-	3	2	3	3	4	2	3	9	3	3	1	5
Code enforcement	<1	-	<1	1	-	-	1	-	,1	-	<1	-	<1	-
Chi Square		14.36 .214		23.09 .396			21.64 .027		6.29 .853		13.35 .271		9.72 .556	

Q2a & Q2b All Issues Combined

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	400	200 50%	199 50%	162 41%	230 58%	285 71%	99 25%	94 24%	150 38%	150 38%	335 84%	52 13%	21 5%	81 20%	312 78%
Cost of living / inflation	43%	43%	43%	43%	42%	40%	47%	46%	46%	37%	40%	58%	57%	48%	40%
Homelessness	37	30	43	30	41	42	24	32	35	41	38	33	29	33	38
Jobs	23	28	19	25	23	20	32	23	25	19	21	33	29	33	21
Lack of affordable housing / high rents	19	24	15	24	15	19	23	16	23	19	18	17	38	21	19
Crime / safety	16	15	18	14	17	15	19	21	16	13	16	19	14	16	16
Roads / Water infrastructure	14	12	16	12	15	15	10	17	11	15	15	10	10	12	15
Traffic	14	18	10	20	9	15	10	7	13	20	15	8	14	14	14
Environment	13	15	12	12	14	12	17	13	12	15	14	8	10	9	14
Wildfires, emergency mgt	11	10	12	12	10	11	12	12	13	9	12	4	-	7	12
Mental health / addiction services	6	4	8	4	7	6	3	4	5	7	6	8	-	4	6
Land use planning	5	4	6	4	5	6	1	7	2	5	5	4	-	2	4
Code enforcement	1	-	1	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	1
Chi Square		25.06 .009		23.01 .018		23.28 .016		24.11 .341			n/a			10.18 .514	

Q2 All issues facing Clackamas County

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	400	21 5%	376 94%	87 22%	158 40%	155 39%	185 46%	211 53%	388 97%	11 3%	363 91%	37 9%	243 61%	157 39%
Cost of living / inflation	43%	71%	40%	47%	46%	37%	44%	42%	43%	27%	40%	62%	40%	47%
Homelessness	37	38	37	24	36	44	30	42	37	36	37	30	44	25
Jobs	23	10	24	25	23	23	29	18	24	9	21	43	23	23
Lack of affordable housing / high rents	19	19	19	22	20	17	24	15	19	18	19	22	20	18
Crime / safety	16	19	16	18	20	10	14	18	15	36	16	16	16	17
Roads / Water infrastructure	14	14	14	13	11	17	15	13	13	27	15	8	13	15
Traffic	14	-	15	13	7	22	16	12	14	9	15	5	14	15
Environment	13	-	14	15	13	12	11	15	13	9	14	5	12	15
Wildfires, emergency mgt	11	14	11	14	13	7	7	14	11	9	12	3	9	13
Mental health / addiction services	6	14	5	5	6	6	2	9	5	9	6	3	6	5
Land use planning	5	-	5	3	5	5	6	3	4	9	5	3	3	7
Code enforcement	1	-	1	1	-	1	1	-	1	-	1	-	0	1
Chi Square		16.90 .111		34.69 .042			36.71 .001		7.22 .781		19.94 .046		16.57 .121	

% RILEY RESEARCH ASSOCIATES
Research for Marketing, Public Relations, and Planning

Q3. Clackamas County promotes many ways for residents to become involved in the county government decision process, whether in-person or online. How aware were you of any of these opportunities, or others, prior to this phone call?

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	400	200 50%	199 50%	162 41%	230 58%	285 71%	99 25%	94 24%	150 38%	150 38%	335 84%	52 13%	21 5%	81 20%	312 78%
Not at all	10%	13%	7%	9%	10%	6%	22%	19%	8%	5%	8%	19%	10%	17%	7%
Not much	22	23	21	24	21	20	27	20	23	22	20	29	33	28	21
Somewhat	57	56	58	56	57	60	46	52	60	57	59	44	52	48	59
Very much	12	9	14	11	11	14	4	9	9	16	13	8	5	6	13
Chi Square		6.25 .100		0.44 .932		30.86 .001			18.74 .005		n/a			12.28 .006	

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	400	21 5%	376 94%	87 22%	158 40%	155 39%	185 46%	211 53%	388 97%	11 3%	363 91%	37 9%	243 61%	157 39%
Not at all	10%	24%	9%	15%	8%	8%	9%	10%	10%	9%	8%	24%	9%	10%
Not much	22	19	22	20	28	17	22	22	22	18	21	35	23	20
Somewhat	57	48	57	56	56	59	58	55	57	64	59	35	54	62
Very much	12	10	12	9	8	16	10	13	12	9	12	5	14	8
Chi Square		5.20 .158		12.55 .051			0.76 .860		0.23 .973		17.37 .001		4.82 .186	

Q4. What are your reasons for not participating in county decisions? Select all that apply.

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	400	200 50%	199 50%	162 41%	230 58%	285 71%	99 25%	94 24%	150 38%	150 38%	335 84%	52 13%	21 5%	81 20%	312 78%
Lack of time	58%	68%	49%	73%	46%	62%	52%	45%	52%	73%	58%	58%	57%	62%	58%
Not interested	42	41	43	38	45	41	45	40	41	43	42	44	48	42	42
Feel participation not welcome	32	26	38	25	37	34	28	36	37	25	33	23	33	33	32
Job conflicts	25	31	18	30	19	24	23	16	28	26	24	21	43	27	24
Health issues	11	2	20	1	18	10	9	21	7	7	11	15	-	10	10
Meeting location	10	8	12	11	10	12	6	12	10	9	10	10	14	6	11
Fixed meeting times	6	8	5	6	7	6	6	3	5	10	6	6	5	5	6
Not aware when meetings are held	4	7	2	5	4	3	8	6	3	4	3	15	-	12	2
Lack of issue awareness	4	5	3	4	4	4	4	6	4	3	4	4	10	5	4
Lack of childcare	3	5	1	6	<1	2	4	5	2	1	3	2	-	2	3
Avoid confrontation	2	-	3	-	3	2	-	-	1	3	1	2	-	1	1
Lack of access to online options	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	-	1	1
Lack of transportation	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	2	-	2	1
Language barriers	1	1	2	1	1	<1	4	4	-	1	1	6	-	5	<1
Chi Square		68.93 .001		63.27 .001		21.08 .071		58.38 .001			n/a			28.90 .007	

Q4. What are your reasons for not participating in county decisions? (Select all that apply)

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	400	21 5%	376 94%	87 22%	158 40%	155 39%	185 46%	211 53%	388 97%	11 3%	363 91%	37 9%	243 61%	157 39%
Lack of time	58%	38%	60%	54%	53%	66%	57%	58%	59%	36%	58%	62%	61%	53%
Not interested	42	38	43	34	46	42	42	43	43	27	42	41	40	45
Feel participation not welcome	32	33	32	30	37	28	30	34	31	64	33	22	32	32
Job conflicts	25	5	26	22	21	30	27	22	25	18	25	16	23	27
Health issues	11	48	8	13	14	6	12	9	11	9	10	14	9	13
Meeting location	10	10	10	15	11	6	4	15	10	-	10	8	9	11
Fixed meeting times	6	10	6	5	3	10	4	8	6	-	6	8	8	3
Not aware when meetings are held	4	10	4	5	6	3	4	5	4	9	3	19	5	4
Lack of issue awareness	4	-	4	8	3	3	4	4	4	-	4	3	6	1
Lack of childcare	3	-	3	3	1	5	1	5	3	9	3	-	3	3
Avoid confrontation	2	-	2	-	3	1	1	2	2	-	2	-	1	3
Lack of access to online options	1	5	1	3	1	1	1	2	1	-	1	3	2	1
Lack of transportation	1	5	1	5	1	-	1	1	1	9	1	3	2	1
Language barriers	1	10	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	-	1	8	1	1
Chi Square		52.76 .001		54.37 .001			22.28 .051		17.15 .193		41.47 .001		16.12 .243	

% RILEY RESEARCH ASSOCIATES
Research for Marketing, Public Relations, and Planning

Q6. How do you currently receive news or information about Clackamas County, if at all? (First response)

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	400	200 50%	199 50%	162 41%	230 58%	285 71%	99 25%	94 24%	150 38%	150 38%	335 84%	52 13%	21 5%	81 20%	312 78%
TV	32%	27%	38%	28%	35%	29%	40%	45%	32%	25%	30%	40%	38%	38%	31%
KGW / NBC	18	15	20	17	18	18	20	26	20	10	16	25	19	23	16
Clackamas Co Community TV	8	6	10	2	11	5	12	9	6	9	8	-	19	4	9
KATU / ABC	4	2	5	3	4	4	4	9	2	2	3	6	-	6	3
KOIN / CBS	2	2	3	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	1	6	-	2	2
KPTV / FOX	2	3	1	4	<1	2	2	-	2	3	1	4	-	2	2
County	23%	19%	27%	23%	23%	29%	7%	18%	17%	33%	26%	10%	10%	15%	25%
Mailers posted from the county	9	4	15	4	13	12	4	11	7	11	10	4	-	10	9
County website	6	9	3	9	3	7	2	3	2	11	6	4	5	2	6
County Facebook page	5	6	4	7	3	6	1	3	5	5	5	2	5	2	5
Emails from the county	3	1	5	1	4	4	-	1	2	5	3	-	-	-	4
ClackCo	1	1	1	1	<1	1	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	1
Social media (not county)	13%	23%	4%	16%	11%	8%	27%	11%	20%	7%	13%	13%	29%	12%	13%
Facebook	10	18	3	12	9	6	21	9	15	6	9	10	24	7	11
YouTube	2	2	1	2	<1	1	2	-	3	1	2	2	-	4	1

RILEY RESEARCH ASSOCIATES

Research for Marketing, Public Relations, and Planning

Twitter / X	1	2	1	2	1	<1	2	1	1	1	1	-	5	-	2
Instagram	1	1	-	-	1	-	2	1	1	-	<1	2	-	1	<1
Online news	11%	17%	5%	14%	9%	10%	15%	10%	12%	11%	11%	12%	10%	10%	12%
Oregonlive.com	9	13	5	10	8	8	13	6	11	9	9	8	10	7	10
OregonCityNews Online.com	1	3	-	2	1	1	1	2	-	1	1	4	-	2	1
Newsletter from Oregon City CoC	<1	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	<1	-	-	-	<1
Other online newspaper	1	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	1
Printed media	9%	5%	13%	5%	11%	9%	7%	10%	9%	7%	9%	13%	-	9%	8%
The Oregonian	7	4	10	4	9	7	7	10	7	4	7	13	-	7	6
West Linn Tidings	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	1	1
Other printed newspaper	1	-	2	-	2	1	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	1
Online sources	9%	10%	8%	13%	6%	12%	-	2%	7%	15%	9%	4%	14%	11%	8%
Internet search engines	8	10	7	13	5	12	-	2	6	15	8	4	14	11	8
Radio	3%	1%	5%	-	4%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%	-	2%	2%
KOPB	2	1	3	-	3	1	1	2	2	1	1	4	-	2	1
KUPL	1	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	1
KINK FM	<1	-	1	-	<1	<1	-	-	-	1	<1	-	-	-	<1
Other groups	1%	1%	1%	1%	<1%	<1%	2%	3%	-	-	<1%	4%	-	2%	-
Chi Square		92.50 .001		66.98 .001		71.00 .001		96.40 .001			n/a			32.87 .166	

Q6. How do you currently receive news or information about Clackamas County, if at all? (First response)

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	400	21 5%	376 94%	87 22%	158 40%	155 39%	185 46%	211 53%	388 97%	11 3%	363 91%	37 9%	243 61%	157 39%
<u>TV</u>	32%	52%	31%	41%	30%	29%	29%	35%	32%	36%	31%	46%	36%	26%
KGW / NBC	18	24	17	21	17	16	13	21	17	27	17	24	23	10
Clackamas Co Community TV	8	-	8	9	9	5	8	8	8	-	8	-	7	8
KATU / ABC	4	19	3	8	1	4	4	3	4	-	3	8	2	5
KOIN / CBS	2	10	2	2	3	1	3	1	2	9	1	8	2	1
KPTV / FOX	2	-	2	1	1	3	2	1	2	-	1	5	2	2
<u>County</u>	23%	29%	23%	20%	23%	25%	19%	26%	23%	-	24%	11%	23%	24%
Mailers posted from the county	9	10	9	10	11	7	7	11	9	-	10	3	8	11
County website	6	10	6	3	4	9	8	4	6	-	6	5	5	6
County Facebook page	5	5	5	5	4	6	3	6	5	-	5	3	6	3
Emails from the county	3	-	3	1	4	3	2	4	3	-	3	-	3	2
ClackCo	1	5	<1	-	1	1	1	<1	1	-	1	-	<1	1
<u>Social media (not county)</u>	13%	-	14%	14%	16%	10%	14%	12%	13%	27%	13%	16%	14%	12%
Facebook	10	-	11	9	12	8	10	10	10	9	10	11	11	9
YouTube	2	-	2	-	2	2	2	1	1	9	1	3	1	2

RILEY RESEARCH ASSOCIATES

Research for Marketing, Public Relations, and Planning

Twitter / X	1	-	1	3	1	-	2	1	1	9	1	-	2	-
Instagram	1	-	1	1	1	-	1	<1	1	-	<1	3	-	1
Online news	11%	10%	11%	8%	13%	11%	10%	12%	11%	18%	11%	8%	9%	15%
Oregonlive.com	9	10	9	5	11	9	9	9	9	18	9	5	7	11
Oregon City Newslane.com	1	-	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	3	1	2
Other online newspaper	1	-	1	-	1	1	-	1	1	-	1	-	<1	1
Newsletter from Oregon City CoC	<1	-	<1	-	-	1	-	<1	<1	-	<1	-	-	1
Printed media	9%	10%	9%	10%	9%	7%	11%	7%	9%	9%	9%	5%	7%	11%
The Oregonian	7	5	7	9	8	5	9	5	7	9	7	5	5	11
Other printed newspaper	1	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	-	1	1
West Linn Tidings	1	-	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	-	1	-
Other online pages	9%	-	9%	2%	4%	16%	11%	7%	9%	-	9%	3%	8%	10%
Internet search engines	8	-	9	2	4	15	11	6	9	-	9	3	8	9
Radio	3%	-	2%	3%	3%	1%	4%	1%	3%	-	2%	5%	3%	2%
KOPB	2	-	2	3	1	1	3	1	2	-	1	5	2	2
KUPL	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-
KINK FM	<1	-	<1	-	1	-	1	-	<1	-	<1	-	<1	-
Other groups	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	0%	1%	9%	0%	5%	1%	1%
Chi Square		42.36 .023		74.40 .022			29.43 .292		30.67 .241		45.07 .012		38.38 .056	

Q7. What other news sources do you use for information about Clackamas County? (Note all that apply)

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	398	200 50%	197 49%	162 41%	228 57%	283 71%	99 25%	93 23%	150 38%	149 37%	333 84%	52 13%	21 5%	81 20%	311 78%
<u>County</u>	43%	47%	40%	48%	38%	47%	31%	34%	45%	48%	45%	35%	52%	35%	46%
Mailers posted from the county	24	28	21	26	22	25	20	20	29	23	25	21	29	26	24
Emails from the county	12	13	12	17	9	16	2	5	9	19	13	10	14	10	13
County website	11	12	11	12	11	12	9	10	9	15	12	6	10	6	13
County Facebook page	3	3	3	2	3	4	1	1	3	4	3	-	10	1	4
ClackCo	2	3	2	2	2	2	1	2	1	4	2	2	5	1	3
<u>TV</u>	31%	29%	34%	35%	29%	35%	23%	35%	26%	35%	30%	37%	19%	31%	31%
KGW / NBC	16	16	16	20	14	19	10	15	15	17	17	12	5	12	17
KATU / ABC	7	8	7	7	7	9	3	8	3	11	7	8	5	6	7
KPTV / FOX	6	5	8	6	7	6	6	10	5	5	6	12	-	9	5
KOIN / CBS	5	4	6	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	8	10	6	5
Clackamas County Community TV	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	3	2	2	-	1	2
<u>Social media (not county)</u>	21%	28%	14%	28%	15%	16%	32%	19%	27%	14%	20%	23%	24%	27%	20%

RILEY RESEARCH ASSOCIATES

Research for Marketing, Public Relations, and Planning

Facebook	12	15	10	19	8	12	12	11	15	9	12	17	14	19	11
YouTube	10	14	5	11	8	6	19	12	13	5	9	12	10	11	9
LinkedIn	2	3	2	3	2	1	5	3	4	-	2	4	10	5	2
Instagram	2	4	-	1	3	0	7	2	4	-	2	4	5	4	2
Twitter / X	2	3	1	1	2	1	3	-	2	2	2	2	-	1	2
Tik Tok	1	2	-	1	1	-	3	1	1	-	1	2	-	1	1
<u>Printed media</u>	20%	16%	24%	16%	23%	20%	23%	20%	23%	17%	21%	12%	24%	22%	20%
The Oregonian	17	14	19	14	19	16	22	16	20	14	18	8	19	17	16
Other printed newspaper	2	1	4	1	3	3	-	3	3	1	2	2	5	2	2
West Linn Tidings	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	-	3	2	2	-	2	1
Gresham Outlook	<1	-	-	-	<1	<1	-	1	-	-	<1	-	-	-	<1
<u>Online news</u>	12%	15%	8%	17%	7%	13%	9%	8%	15%	11%	12%	10%	5%	6%	13%
Oregonlive.com	9	12	6	12	6	10	5	8	10	9	9	8	-	5	10
Oregon City News Online.com	2	2	3	3	1	2	1	-	3	3	2	2	-	-	3
Newsletter from Oregon City CoC	1	2	-	1	1	-	3	-	2	-	1	-	5	1	1
Other online newspaper	1	1	1	1	-	1	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	1
<u>Radio</u>	5%	3%	8%	1%	8%	5%	5%	4%	6%	5%	5%	4%	14%	2%	6%
KOPB	4	2	6	1	6	4	4	3	3	4	4	2	10	1	4
KUPL	1	-	2	-	1	1	-	1	1	-	1	2	-	1	1

RILEY RESEARCH ASSOCIATES

Research for Marketing, Public Relations, and Planning

KXL	1	1	1	-	1	1	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	1
KINK FM	<1	1	-	-	<1	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	5	-	<1
<u>Other groups</u>	5%	3%	7%	2%	7%	4%	5%	5%	3%	6%	4%	8%	-	9%	4%
Family and friends	5	3	7	2	7	4	5	5	3	6	4	8	-	9	4
<u>Other online pages</u>	4%	6%	3%	6%	3%	4%	5%	2%	3%	7%	5%	2%	5%	2%	5%
Internet search engines	4	6	3	6	3	4	4	1	3	7	5	-	5	1	5
Chi Square		56.73 .005		47.32 .040		95.25 .001			91.81 .013			n/a		34.56 .346	

Q7. What other news sources do you use for information about Clackamas County? Note all that apply.

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	398	21 5%	374 94%	86 22%	157 39%	155 39%	184 46%	210 53%	387 97%	10 3%	361 91%	37 9%	242 61%	156 39%
County	43%	29%	44%	42%	36%	51%	43%	43%	44%	30%	45%	27%	41%	46%
Mailers posted from the county	24	14	25	24	24	25	25	24	24	30	25	19	22	28
Emails from the county	12	-	13	10	6	19	14	10	12	-	12	8	9	17
County website	11	5	12	12	9	14	9	13	12	-	12	5	13	9
County Facebook page	3	5	3	3	2	4	2	4	3	-	3	-	5	1
ClackCo	2	5	2	1	1	4	3	2	2	-	2	-	3	1
TV	31%	52%	30%	35%	32%	28%	33%	30%	31%	20%	30%	46%	33%	29%
KGW / NBC	16	14	16	17	17	14	18	14	16	10	16	16	16	15
KATU / ABC	7	14	7	8	6	7	7	7	7	-	7	11	8	5
KPTV / FOX	6	19	5	9	5	5	4	8	6	10	6	11	6	6
KOIN / CBS	5	19	4	2	6	5	5	4	5	-	4	11	5	5
Clackamas Co Community TV	2	-	2	1	3	2	3	1	2	-	2	3	2	2
Social media (not county)	21%	10%	22%	24%	18%	21%	16%	25%	20%	70%	21%	19%	23%	17%
Facebook	12	5	13	13	9	15	8	17	12	30	12	14	14	10

RILEY RESEARCH ASSOCIATES

Research for Marketing, Public Relations, and Planning

YouTube	10	5	10	16	9	6	9	10	9	50	10	8	11	7
LinkedIn	2	-	2	3	2	2	3	2	2	10	2	3	2	3
Instagram	2	5	2	6	2	-	1	3	2	10	2	3	2	3
Twitter / X	2	-	2	-	2	2	2	1	2	-	2	-	1	2
Tik Tok	1	-	1	2	1	-	-	1	1	10	1	3	<1	1
<u>Printed media</u>	20%	19%	20%	14%	22%	21%	24%	17%	20%	30%	22%	5%	22%	17%
The Oregonian	17	10	17	10	19	17	21	13	16	30	18	5	19	13
Other printed newspaper	2	10	2	3	3	1	2	2	2	-	2	-	1	4
West Linn Tidings	1	-	1	-	-	3	1	2	1	-	1	-	2	1
Gresham Outlook	<1	-	<1	-	-	1	1	-	<1	-	<1	-	-	1
<u>Online news</u>	12%	5%	12%	14%	10%	12%	8%	15%	12%	10%	12%	8%	11%	13%
Oregonlive.com	9	5	9	13	6	9	7	10	9	10	9	8	8	10
Oregon City News Online	2	-	2	1	2	3	2	2	2	-	2	-	2	2
Newsletter from Oregon City CoC	1	-	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	-	1	-	1	-
Other online newspaper	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	1	-	1	-	-	1
<u>Radio</u>	5%	5%	5%	2%	8%	5%	5%	5%	5%	10%	6%	3%	5%	6%
KOPB	4	-	4	1	6	3	4	3	4	10	4	-	3	5
KUPL	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	3	1	1

RILEY RESEARCH ASSOCIATES

Research for Marketing, Public Relations, and Planning

KXL	1	5	<1	-	1	1	1	<1	1	-	1	-	1	-
KINK FM	<1	-	<1	-	1	-	-	<1	<1	-	<1	-	<1	-
<u>Other groups</u>	5%	5%	5%	5%	4%	5%	3%	6%	5%	-	4%	11%	4%	6%
Family and friends	5	5	5	5	4	5	3	6	5	-	4	11	4	6
<u>Other online pages</u>	4%	-	5%	2%	4%	6%	4%	5%	4%	-	4%	3%	4%	5%
Internet search engines	4	-	4	2	3	6	4	4	4	-	4	-	3	5
Chi Square		49.06 .027			79.26 .095		41.94 .112		35.82 .294		41.74 .116		40.91 .134	

% RILEY RESEARCH ASSOCIATES
Research for Marketing, Public Relations, and Planning

Q6 / Q7. How do you currently receive news or information about Clackamas County, if at all? (Aided - All responses)

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	400	200 50%	199 50%	162 41%	230 58%	285 71%	99 25%	94 24%	150 38%	150 38%	335 84%	52 13%	21 5%	81 20%	312 78%
<u>County</u>	55%	57%	53%	59%	50%	61%	36%	45%	54%	63%	58%	40%	52%	46%	57%
Mailers	34	32	35	30	34	36	24	31	37	33	35	25	29	36	33
County website	17	20	14	21	14	19	11	13	11	25	18	10	14	9	19
Emails	15	13	17	18	13	19	2	6	11	24	16	10	14	10	16
County Facebook	8	9	7	9	6	9	3	5	7	9	8	2	14	4	9
ClackCo	3	3	3	3	2	3	1	2	1	5	3	2	5	1	3
<u>TV</u>	49%	43%	55%	48%	50%	49%	49%	57%	48%	45%	48%	50%	43%	49%	49%
KGW / NBC	33	30	35	36	30	35	30	38	35	27	32	37	24	36	32
KATU / ABC	11	10	11	10	11	12	7	16	5	13	10	13	5	12	10
Clackamas Community TV	10	8	12	4	13	7	14	11	7	11	10	2	19	5	11
KPTV / FOX	8	7	8	9	7	8	8	10	7	7	7	15	-	11	7
KOIN / CBS	7	6	8	7	7	6	7	7	6	7	5	13	10	9	6
<u>Social media</u>	29%	41%	17%	38%	21%	22%	43%	24%	37%	21%	27%	33%	48%	32%	28%
Facebook	22	32	12	30	17	18	32	18	29	15	21	27	38	26	21

RILEY RESEARCH ASSOCIATES

Research for Marketing, Public Relations, and Planning

YouTube	11	16	6	14	8	7	21	12	16	5	11	13	10	15	10
Twitter / X	3	5	1	3	3	1	5	1	3	3	3	2	5	1	3
Instagram	3	5	-	1	3	0	9	3	5	-	2	6	5	5	2
<u>Printed media</u>	28%	20%	36%	20%	33%	28%	30%	29%	33%	23%	29%	25%	24%	31%	27%
The Oregonian	24	18	29	18	28	22	29	26	27	18	24	21	19	25	23
Other printed newspaper	3	1	6	1	5	5	-	3	5	2	3	2	5	2	4
West Linn Tidings	2	1	3	1	2	2	1	1	1	3	2	2	-	4	1
<u>Online news</u>	21%	31%	11%	28%	16%	21%	23%	17%	24%	21%	21%	19%	14%	16%	23%
Oregonlive.com	18	25	11	22	14	18	18	14	21	17	19	15	10	12	20
Oregon City News Online.com	3	4	3	5	2	4	2	2	3	4	3	6	-	2	4
<u>Other online pages</u>	13%	16%	10%	19%	8%	16%	5%	4%	9%	22%	13%	6%	19%	14%	13%
Internet search engines	12	15	10	19	8	16	4	3	9	22	13	4	19	12	13
<u>Radio</u>	7%	4%	10%	1%	11%	6%	6%	5%	7%	7%	7%	6%	14%	4%	7%
KOPB	6	3	9	1	9	5	5	5	5	5	5	6	10	4	5
<u>Other groups</u>	5%	3%	8%	3%	7%	5%	7%	9%	3%	6%	4%	12%	-	11%	4%
Family and friends	5	3	8	3	7	5	7	9	3	6	4	12	-	11	4
Chi Square		101.61 .001		75.09 .001		126.99 .001			124.44 .001			n/a		38.73 .192	

Q6 + Q7. How do you currently receive news or information about Clackamas County, if at all? (All responses – Combined)

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	400	21 5%	376 94%	87 22%	158 40%	155 39%	185 46%	211 53%	388 97%	11 3%	363 91%	37 9%	243 61%	157 39%
<u>County</u>	55%	52%	55%	52%	50%	61%	52%	57%	55%	27%	57%	32%	51%	61%
Mailers	34	24	34	34	34	32	32	35	34	27	35	22	30	39
County website	17	14	17	15	13	22	16	17	17	-	17	11	18	15
Emails	15	-	15	11	9	22	16	14	15	-	15	8	12	19
County Facebook	8	10	8	8	5	10	5	10	8	-	8	3	10	4
ClackCo	3	10	2	1	2	5	3	2	3	-	3	-	3	2
<u>TV</u>	49%	71%	47%	55%	51%	43%	50%	48%	49%	45%	48%	59%	53%	41%
KGW / NBC	33	38	32	37	34	29	30	34	32	36	32	41	37	25
KATU / ABC	11	33	9	16	7	11	11	10	11	-	10	19	11	10
Clackamas Community TV	10	-	10	10	12	6	10	9	10	-	10	3	9	10
KPTV / FOX	8	19	7	10	6	8	6	9	7	9	7	16	8	7
KOIN / CBS	7	29	6	5	9	6	8	6	6	9	6	19	7	6
<u>Social media</u>	29%	10%	30%	31%	27%	29%	24%	33%	28%	64%	28%	30%	32%	24%
Facebook	22	5	23	22	21	23	17	27	22	36	22	24	25	18

RILEY RESEARCH ASSOCIATES

Research for Marketing, Public Relations, and Planning

YouTube	11	5	11	16	11	8	11	11	10	55	11	11	12	9
Twitter / X	3	-	3	3	3	2	4	2	3	9	3	-	3	2
Instagram	3	5	2	7	3	-	1	3	2	9	2	5	2	4
<u>Printed media</u>	28%	24%	28%	24%	32%	26%	34%	23%	28%	36%	30%	11%	28%	29%
The Oregonian	24	14	24	20	27	22	30	18	23	36	25	11	23	24
Other printed newspaper	3	14	3	5	4	2	3	3	3	-	4	-	2	4
West Linn Tidings	2	-	2	-	1	4	1	2	2	-	2	-	2	1
<u>Online news</u>	21%	14%	22%	22%	21%	21%	17%	25%	21%	27%	21%	16%	19%	25%
Oregonlive.com	18	14	18	17	18	18	16	19	18	27	18	14	16	21
Oregon City News Online.com	3	-	3	5	3	3	3	3	3	-	3	3	3	4
<u>Other online pages</u>	13%	-	14%	5%	8%	22%	15%	11%	13%	-	13%	5%	12%	15%
Internet search engines	12	-	13	5	8	21	15	10	13	-	13	3	11	14
<u>Radio</u>	7%	5%	7%	5%	9%	5%	9%	5%	7%	9%	7%	5%	7%	7%
KOPB	6	-	6	5	7	5	7	4	5	9	6	5	5	7
<u>Other groups</u>	5%	5%	5%	6%	6%	5%	4%	7%	5%	9%	4%	16%	5%	6%
Family and friends	5	5	5	6	6	5	4	7	5	9	4	16	5	6
Chi Square		73.71 .001		91.32 .014		42.27 .106		43.99 .077		56.46 .005		38.92 .186		

Q8. Of all the sources of information about the county, which is your first preference?

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	367	184 50%	182 50%	153 42%	206 56%	271 74%	82 22%	83 23%	138 38%	140 38%	313 85%	39 11%	18 5%	70 19%	294 80%
County Source	33%	24%	43%	27%	38%	38%	15%	29%	27%	41%	35%	26%	22%	24%	35%
Mailers posted from the county	16	3	30	5	25	20	1	20	11	19	17	15	-	16	16
Emails from the county	9	12	7	11	8	8	10	2	12	10	9	10	17	7	10
County website	6	8	4	9	3	7	2	4	2	11	6	-	6	1	7
ClackCo	1	-	3	1	2	2	-	2	1	1	2	-	-	-	2
County Facebook page	1	1	-	1	-	<1	1	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	1
Social media (not county)	21%	34%	8%	29%	15%	17%	38%	19%	27%	16%	18%	36%	39%	33%	18%
Social media (unspecified)	18	29	7	26	12	15	32	16	23	15	15	31	39	30	15
Facebook	2	2	1	1	2	2	1	1	2	1	2	-	-	-	2
Twitter / X	1	1	-	-	1	-	2	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	1
Instagram	<1	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	3	-	1	-
Tik Tok	<1	1	-	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	3	-	1	-
YouTube	<1	1	-	1	-	<1	-	-	1	-	<1	-	-	-	<1
TV	15%	9%	21%	10%	19%	14%	20%	24%	17%	9%	16%	15%	6%	16%	15%

RILEY RESEARCH ASSOCIATES

Research for Marketing, Public Relations, and Planning

Local TV channel (Misc)	13	7	19	7	17	11	20	20	17	5	13	13	6	13	13
KGW / NBC	1	2	1	2	1	2	-	2	-	2	2	3	-	3	1
KPTV / FOX	<1	-	1	1	-	<1	-	-	-	1	<1	-	-	-	<1
KOIN / CBS	<1	-	1	-	<1	-	-	-	-	1	<1	-	-	-	<1
Clackamas Co Community TV	<1	-	1	-	<1	<1	-	1	-	-	<1	-	-	-	<1
Online pages	15%	18%	12%	20%	11%	16%	12%	7%	14%	20%	15%	8%	33%	16%	15%
Internet search engines	15	18	12	20	11	16	12	7	14	20	15	8	33	16	15
Printed media	7%	4%	9%	5%	7%	7%	5%	8%	7%	5%	7%	5%	-	4%	7%
Local newspaper (unspecified)	6	4	9	5	7	7	5	7	7	5	7	5	-	4	7
The Oregonian	<10	1	-	1	-	<1	-	1	-	-	<1	-	-	-	<1
Online news	5%	7%	3%	6%	4%	5%	5%	4%	4%	7%	5%	5%	-	3%	5%
Local newspaper (unspecified)	4	5	3	5	4	4	5	4	3	6	4	5	-	3	4
Oregonlive.com	1	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	1
OregonCityNews.com	<1	-	1	-	<1	<1	-	-	-	1	<1	-	-	-	<1
Other groups	1%	1%	2%	-	2%	1%	2%	4%	1%	-	2%	-	-	-	1%
Family and friends	1	1	2	-	2	1	2	4	1	-	2	-	-	-	1
Radio	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%
Other radio	1	1	1	-	1	1	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	1
Chi Square		109.22 .001		72.47 .001		52.64 .001		76.48 .006			n/a			29.13 .215	

Q8. Of all the sources of information about the county, which is your first preference?

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	367	19 5%	347 95%	80 22%	141 38%	146 40%	167 46%	196 53%	355 97%	11 3%	339 92%	28 8%	230 63%	137 37%
County Source	33%	53%	32%	35%	34%	32%	31%	36%	34%	-	34%	25%	31%	36%
Mailers posted from the county	16	42	15	18	18	14	14	18	17	-	17	14	14	20
Emails from the county	9	-	10	10	10	8	8	10	10	-	9	11	9	10
County website	6	11	5	4	4	9	7	5	6	-	6	-	6	5
ClackCo	1	-	1	3	1	1	1	2	1	-	1	-	2	-
County Facebook page	1	-	1	1	1	-	-	1	1	-	1	-	<1	1
Social media (not county)	21%	5%	22%	25%	23%	17%	15%	26%	21%	27%	19%	43%	21%	21%
Social media (unspecified)	18	5	19	21	18	16	13	22	18	18	17	36	17	19
Facebook	2	-	2	1	3	1	1	2	2	-	2	-	2	1
Twitter / X	1	-	1	1	1	-	1	1	<1	9	1	-	<1	1
Instagram	<1	-	<1	-	1	-	-	1	<1	-	-	4	<1	-
Tik Tok	<1	-	<1	1	-	-	-	1	<1	-	-	4	<1	-
YouTube	<1	-	<1	-	-	1	1	-	<1	-	<1	-	-	<1
TV	15%	21%	15%	20%	13%	14%	16%	15%	15%	36%	15%	14%	18%	10%

RILEY RESEARCH ASSOCIATES

Research for Marketing, Public Relations, and Planning

Local TV channel (Misc)	13	16	13	19	11	12	13	13	12	36	13	14	16	9
KGW / NBC	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	-	1	-	2	-
KPTV / FOX	<1	-	<1	-	-	1	1	-	<1	-	<1	-	-	1
KOIN / CBS	<1	5	-	-	-	1	1	-	<1	-	<1	-	<1	-
Clackamas Co Community TV	<1	-	<1	-	1	-	1	-	<1	-	<1	-	-	1
Online pages	15%	11%	15%	5%	13%	22%	19%	11%	15%	9%	16%	4%	14%	17%
Internet search engines	15	11	15	5	13	22	19	11	15	9	16	4	14	17
Printed media	7%	5%	7%	8%	5%	8%	8%	6%	6%	9%	7%	-	6%	8%
Local newspaper (unspecified)	6	5	6	8	4	8	7	6	6	9	7	-	6	7
The Oregonian	<1	-	<1	-	1	-	1	-	<1	-	<1	-	-	1
Online news	5	-	5	3	6	5	7	3	5	-	5	7	6	4
Local newspaper (unspecified)	4	-	4	3	5	4	6	3	4	-	4	7	5	3
Oregonlive.com	1	-	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	-	<1	1
Oregon City News Online	<1	-	<1	-	1	-	1	-	<1	-	<1	-	<1	-
Other groups	1%	-	1%	1%	3%	-	1%	2%	1%	9%	1%	-	2%	1%
Family and friends	1	-	1	1	3	-	1	2	1	9	1	-	2	1
Radio	1%	5%	0%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-
Other radio	1	5	<1	-	1	1	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-
Chi Square		42.46 .011			49.29 .421		28.39 .244		32.59 .113		42.97 .010		26.35 .336	

Q9. How often do you visit the Clackamas County website, if ever?

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	400	200 50%	199 50%	162 41%	230 58%	285 71%	99 25%	94 24%	150 38%	150 38%	335 84%	52 13%	21 5%	81 20%	312 78%
Weekly or more	4%	4%	4%	5%	3%	5%	2%	1%	4%	5%	5%	-	-	1%	5%
Monthly	14	17	11	17	10	14	8	10	12	17	14	8	14	7	15
Less than monthly	43	47	40	46	41	48	32	36	39	53	46	31	38	36	45
Never	39	33	46	32	46	33	58	53	45	25	35	62	48	56	35
Chi Square		8.30 .040		9.25 .026		19.00 .001		24.25 .001			n/a			13.55 .004	

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	400	21 5%	376 94%	87 22%	158 40%	155 39%	185 46%	211 53%	388 97%	11 3%	363 91%	37 9%	243 61%	157 39%
Weekly or more	4%	-	4%	1%	4%	5%	4%	4%	4%	-	4%	-	6%	1%
Monthly	14	10	14	11	12	16	14	13	14	-	14	5	14	13
Less than monthly	43	29	44	44	36	50	43	43	43	45	45	30	38	52
Never	39	62	38	44	47	28	39	40	39	55	37	65	43	34
Chi Square		5.11 .164		15.16 .019			0.23 .973		2.66 .447		12.15 .007		10.95 .012	

Q10. Clackamas County often shares information with residents in the county. Would you consider the information you receive from the county very trustworthy, somewhat trustworthy, not too trustworthy, or not at all trustworthy?

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	400	200 50%	199 50%	162 41%	230 58%	285 71%	99 25%	94 24%	150 38%	150 38%	335 84%	52 13%	21 5%	81 20%	312 78%
Not at all	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%
Not much	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	-	10	1	1
Somewhat	58	54	61	57	58	60	52	54	62	56	60	38	62	52	60
Very trustworthy	23	25	22	26	20	26	15	19	17	31	23	27	10	23	23
NA / DK / Ref	17	20	15	15	19	11	30	23	18	11	15	35	19	23	14
Chi Square		2.50 .645		3.61 .462		21.98 .001		15.79 .045			n/a			5.07 .281	

Q10. Clackamas County often shares information with residents in the county. Would you consider the information you receive from the county very trustworthy, somewhat trustworthy, not too trustworthy, or not at all trustworthy?

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	400	21 5%	376 94%	87 22%	158 40%	155 39%	185 46%	211 53%	388 97%	11 3%	363 91%	37 9%	243 61%	157 39%
Not at all	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	18%	1%	-	1%	1%
Not much	1	-	1	-	3	1	2	1	1	-	1	-	2	-
Somewhat	58	38	59	57	52	63	59	56	57	64	61	27	53	64
Very	23	52	22	24	22	23	17	27	23	18	22	30	26	18
NA / DK / Ref	17	10	17	17	22	12	20	15	18	-	15	43	17	17
Chi Square		10.85 .028		10.23 .249			7.68 .104		35.56 .001		24.27 .001		8.67 .070	

% RILEY RESEARCH ASSOCIATES
Research for Marketing, Public Relations, and Planning

Q12. Do you consider the following Clackamas County sources valuable? a. Social media

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	400	200 50%	199 50%	162 41%	230 58%	285 71%	99 25%	94 24%	150 38%	150 38%	335 84%	52 13%	21 5%	81 20%	312 78%
Not at all	9%	6%	12%	2%	14%	6%	16%	11%	9%	9%	10%	6%	14%	4%	10%
Not much	7	4	11	4	10	8	7	11	4	8	8	6	-	4	8
Somewhat	35	40	31	44	28	38	26	32	36	37	36	31	43	22	39
Very	26	37	16	36	19	24	34	24	32	22	25	31	29	36	24
NA / DK / Ref	22	14	31	14	28	24	16	22	19	25	21	27	14	35	19
Chi Square		43.51 .001		46.91 .001		16.12 .003		8.55 .382			n/a			20.34 .001	

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	400	21 5%	376 94%	87 22%	158 40%	155 39%	185 46%	211 53%	388 97%	11 3%	363 91%	37 9%	243 61%	157 39%
Not at all	9%	14%	8%	9%	13%	5%	11%	7%	9%	9%	9%	5%	6%	13%
Not much	7	29	6	9	7	6	10	4	7	-	7	8	8	6
Somewhat	35	19	36	29	30	44	34	36	35	55	37	19	36	34
Very	26	10	27	31	27	23	23	29	26	36	25	35	29	22
NA / DK / Ref	22	29	22	22	23	22	22	23	23	-	21	32	20	25
Chi Square		19.00 .001		12.52 .129			8.92 .063		5.00 .287		6.84 .145		9.77 .044	

% RILEY RESEARCH ASSOCIATES
Research for Marketing, Public Relations, and Planning

Q12. Do you consider the following Clackamas County sources valuable? b. County website

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	400	200 50%	199 50%	162 41%	230 58%	285 71%	99 25%	94 24%	150 38%	150 38%	335 84%	52 13%	21 5%	81 20%	312 78%
Not at all	7%	5%	10%	1%	12%	5%	11%	11%	6%	6%	7%	8%	14%	4%	7%
Not much	5	3	7	2	7	3	9	13	4	1	4	10	5	7	4
Somewhat	36	40	32	40	33	34	42	38	41	31	38	31	24	31	38
Very	27	32	23	35	21	31	16	18	26	34	27	25	29	23	29
NA / DK / Ref	25	22	29	23	27	26	21	20	23	29	24	27	29	35	22
Chi Square		14.57 .006		29.38 .001		18.02 .001		29.60 .001			n/a			7.69 .104	

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	400	21 5%	376 94%	87 22%	158 40%	155 39%	185 46%	211 53%	388 97%	11 3%	363 91%	37 9%	243 61%	157 39%
Not at all	7%	5%	7%	8%	9%	4%	7%	7%	7%	9%	7%	8%	5%	10%
Not much	5	29	3	6	8	1	5	4	4	18	4	11	6	3
Somewhat	36	33	37	39	34	37	42	32	35	64	37	24	37	36
Very	27	14	28	23	25	31	24	29	28	9	27	27	30	22
NA / DK / Ref	25	19	26	24	24	26	23	27	26	-	25	30	22	29
Chi Square		28.03 .001		12.86 .117			4.34 .362		10.91 .028		5.19 .268		7.80 .099	

% RILEY RESEARCH ASSOCIATES
Research for Marketing, Public Relations, and Planning

Q12. Do you consider the following Clackamas County sources valuable? c. ClackCo service guide

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	400	200 50%	199 50%	162 41%	230 58%	285 71%	99 25%	94 24%	150 38%	150 38%	335 84%	52 13%	21 5%	81 20%	312 78%
Not at all	10%	9%	11%	4%	14%	7%	18%	11%	10%	9%	10%	12%	14%	9%	9%
Not much	12	11	12	9	12	9	17	17	13	6	10	15	14	15	11
Somewhat	47	43	52	51	45	55	25	41	44	55	51	29	29	37	50
Very	14	14	14	14	12	16	5	10	13	16	15	6	14	6	16
NA / DK / Ref	18	25	12	22	17	13	34	21	19	15	14	38	29	33	14
Chi Square		12.55 .014		14.01 .007		52.35 .001		13.32 .101			n/a			20.84 .001	

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	400	21 5%	376 94%	87 22%	158 40%	155 39%	185 46%	211 53%	388 97%	11 3%	363 91%	37 9%	243 61%	157 39%
Not at all	10%	-	10%	8%	13%	7%	12%	8%	10%	9%	10%	8%	7%	13%
Not much	12	19	11	15	12	9	13	10	10	64	12	8	13	10
Somewhat	47	43	48	40	46	52	44	50	48	18	49	30	44	52
Very	14	19	13	13	11	17	11	15	14	9	15	3	16	9
NA / DK / Ref	18	19	18	24	18	15	19	17	19	-	15	51	20	16
Chi Square		3.77 .438		11.79 .161			4.33 .363		30.87 .001		31.11 .001		10.63 .031	

Q12. Do you consider the following Clackamas County sources valuable? d. Emails from county

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	400	200 50%	199 50%	162 41%	230 58%	285 71%	99 25%	94 24%	150 38%	150 38%	335 84%	52 13%	21 5%	81 20%	312 78%
Not at all	7%	6%	9%	-	12%	4%	13%	11%	6%	6%	7%	6%	14%	5%	6%
Not much	6	4	8	4	7	5	10	14	3	3	5	12	-	10	5
Somewhat	43	47	40	48	40	44	44	43	44	43	44	40	48	37	45
Very	21	24	17	28	14	24	9	13	21	25	22	15	14	15	22
NA / DK / Ref	24	21	27	20	26	23	23	20	25	23	22	27	24	33	21
Chi Square		9.09 .059		33.30 .001		21.02 .001		20.42 .009			n/a			9.86 .043	

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	400	21 5%	376 94%	87 22%	158 40%	155 39%	185 46%	211 53%	388 97%	11 3%	363 91%	37 9%	243 61%	157 39%
Not at all	7%	-	7%	8%	10%	3%	7%	7%	7%	18%	7%	8%	5%	10%
Not much	6	24	5	5	8	5	5	6	5	18	5	14	7	3
Somewhat	43	43	44	45	41	45	46	41	43	55	44	32	41	46
Very	21	10	21	16	18	25	19	21	21	9	21	14	23	16
NA / DK / Ref	24	24	24	26	23	23	22	25	24	-	23	32	23	25
Chi Square		15.16 .004		10.55 .229			1.25 .870		8.77 .067		7.80 .099		8.83 .065	

% RILEY RESEARCH ASSOCIATES
Research for Marketing, Public Relations, and Planning

Q12. Would you consider the following Clackamas County sources valuable? e. County podcast (soon to be launched)

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	400	200 50%	199 50%	162 41%	230 58%	285 71%	99 25%	94 24%	150 38%	150 38%	335 84%	52 13%	21 5%	81 20%	312 78%
Not at all	10%	7%	13%	2%	16%	7%	16%	14%	10%	7%	10%	10%	14%	6%	10%
Not much	6	4	7	6	6	5	8	11	5	3	5	10	10	9	5
Somewhat	26	31	21	30	22	25	24	21	27	27	27	19	19	21	27
Very	8	11	5	10	5	8	4	3	8	10	8	4	5	5	8
NA / DK / Ref	52	48	55	52	52	54	47	51	50	52	51	58	52	59	50
Chi Square		14.41 .006		24.18 .001		9.92 .042			12.41 .134		n/a			5.30 .258	

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	400	21 5%	376 94%	87 22%	158 40%	155 39%	185 46%	211 53%	388 97%	11 3%	363 91%	37 9%	243 61%	157 39%
Not at all	10%	14%	9%	10%	13%	6%	10%	9%	10%	9%	10%	8%	7%	14%
Not much	6	10	6	7	6	5	6	5	5	18	6	8	7	4
Somewhat	26	24	26	29	25	24	26	25	25	27	26	22	23	30
Very	8	-	8	7	5	10	9	6	7	9	8	3	9	4
NA / DK / Ref	52	52	52	47	51	55	49	54	52	36	51	59	54	48
Chi Square			2.83 .587			7.98 .436		1.74 .783		3.60 .463		2.50 .645		12.37 .015

D1. Languages spoken at home

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	400	200 50%	199 50%	162 41%	230 58%	285 71%	99 25%	94 24%	150 38%	150 38%	335 84%	52 13%	21 5%	81 20%	312 78%
English	94%	95%	93%	93%	95%	98%	83%	86%	94%	99%	99%	63%	95%	78%	99%
Spanish	12	14	11	15	10	7	25	22	11	7	2	92	-	49	2
Other	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	3	1	3	2	-	10	9	0
Japanese	1	1	1	1	-	-	2	1	1	-	0	-	10	1	1
Russian	1	-	1	1	-	0	1	-	1	1	1	-	-	2	-
Chi Square		3.15 .533		7.55 .109		27.04 .001			16.63 .034		n/a			128.58 .001	

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	400	21 5%	376 94%	87 22%	158 40%	155 39%	185 46%	211 53%	388 97%	11 3%	363 91%	37 9%	243 61%	157 39%
English	94%	81%	95%	90%	93%	98%	96%	93%	95%	82%	99%	51%	95%	92%
Spanish	12	24	11	18	15	6	11	13	12	18	3	100	12	13
Other	2	10	2	5	1	2	2	2	2	-	2	-	2	1
Japanese	1	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9	1	-	1	1
Russian	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	0	0	9	1	-	0	1
Chi Square		12.79 .012		16.85 .032			0.87 .929		25.85 .001		195.46 .001		0.87 .928	

D2. Age Group

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	400	200 50%	199 50%	162 41%	230 58%	285 71%	99 25%	94 24%	150 38%	150 38%	335 84%	52 13%	21 5%	81 20%	312 78%
Under 30	11%	21%	-	9%	12%	2%	32%	11%	15%	5%	8%	19%	19%	17%	9%
30-39	14	29	-	24	8	10	28	11	17	15	14	12	19	11	15
40-49	25	51	-	51	5	28	16	22	22	29	25	27	24	25	25
50-59	25	-	49	15	32	30	10	19	25	28	26	12	33	17	27
60+	25	-	51	1	43	29	13	36	21	23	26	31	5	30	23
Chi Square		399.00 .001		177.83 .001		107.86 .001		21.36 .019			n/a			9.08 .106	

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	400	21 5%	376 94%	87 22%	158 40%	155 39%	185 46%	211 53%	388 97%	11 3%	363 91%	37 9%	243 61%	157 39%
Under 30	11%	-	11%	16%	11%	7%	10%	11%	10%	18%	9%	24%	9%	13%
30-39	14	10	15	14	15	14	15	14	14	18	14	14	19	8
40-49	25	14	26	20	24	30	26	24	25	18	25	24	23	28
50-59	25	14	25	21	25	26	25	24	25	18	26	8	25	24
60+	25	62	23	30	26	22	24	27	25	27	25	30	25	26
Chi Square		17.26 .004		10.54 .395			1.91 .861		1.20 .945		12.35 .030		12.37 .030	

D3. Children in household

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	400	200 50%	199 50%	162 41%	230 58%	285 71%	99 25%	94 24%	150 38%	150 38%	335 84%	52 13%	21 5%	81 20%	312 78%
Yes	41%	68%	14%	100%	-	41%	40%	36%	41%	43%	40%	52%	29%	49%	39%
No	58	29	86	-	100	57	58	61	58	55	59	46	67	49	59
Chi Square		137.75 .001		392.00 .001		0.20 .905		2.09 .719			n/a			2.94 .229	

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	400	21 5%	376 94%	87 22%	158 40%	155 39%	185 46%	211 53%	388 97%	11 3%	363 91%	37 9%	243 61%	157 39%
Yes	41%	10%	43%	34%	38%	46%	42%	40%	40%	45%	39%	54%	43%	36%
No	58	86	56	62	61	51	56	59	58	45	59	46	55	62
Chi Square		9.31 .010		6.91 .140			2.01 .365		3.20 .202		3.61 .164		1.96 .376	

D4. Own / rent home

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	400	200 50%	199 50%	162 41%	230 58%	285 71%	99 25%	94 24%	150 38%	150 38%	335 84%	52 13%	21 5%	81 20%	312 78%
Own	71%	58%	84%	73%	71%	100%	-	63%	63%	87%	77%	46%	48%	51%	77%
Rent / lease	25	38	12	25	25	-	100	33	34	11	19	48	52	48	19
Refused	4	4	4	2	4	-	-	4	3	3	4	6	-	1	4
Chi Square		37.89 .001		0.99 .609		384.00 .001		27.55 .001			n/a			29.72 .001	

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	400	21 5%	376 94%	87 22%	158 40%	155 39%	185 46%	211 53%	388 97%	11 3%	363 91%	37 9%	243 61%	157 39%
Own	71%	57%	72%	55%	65%	86%	72%	71%	72%	55%	75%	38%	70%	73%
Rent / lease	25	38	24	36	31	12	23	26	24	45	21	59	26	24
Refused	4	5	4	9	4	1	5	3	4	-	4	3	4	4
Chi Square		2.31 .314		34.17 .001			0.82 .665		2.84 .242		26.41 .001		0.23 .890	

D5. Education

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	400	200 50%	199 50%	162 41%	230 58%	285 71%	99 25%	94 24%	150 38%	150 38%	335 84%	52 13%	21 5%	81 20%	312 78%
Less than HS	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	6%	-	-	1%	8%	-	5%	1%
HS / GED	22	20	24	19	24	20	28	94	-	-	20	35	14	26	21
Trade school / Some college	38	41	35	38	38	33	52	-	100	-	39	33	38	32	39
Bachelors	33	34	33	34	33	40	16	-	-	89	34	23	48	33	33
Masters / Higher or multiple	4	3	6	6	3	6	-	-	-	11	5	-	-	4	4
Refused	2	2	1	1	2	1	1	-	-	-	1	2	-	-	2
Chi Square		4.76 .446		5.22 .389		29.09 .001			788.00 .001		n/a			10.84 .055	

D5. Education

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	400	21 5%	376 94%	87 22%	158 40%	155 39%	185 46%	211 53%	388 97%	11 3%	363 91%	37 9%	243 61%	157 39%
Less than HS	2%	5%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	-	1%	11%	2%	-
HS / GED	22	62	20	44	18	14	21	23	21	55	21	35	19	26
Trade school / Some college	38	10	39	41	51	21	36	37	37	45	39	27	38	37
Bachelors	33	24	34	11	26	53	36	32	34	-	34	27	35	30
Masters / Higher or Multiple	4	-	5	-	1	10	4	5	4	-	5	-	4	4
Refused	2	-	2	2	1	1	2	1	2	-	2	-	1	3
Chi Square		23.81 .001		101.12 .001			0.96 .966		10.16 .071		30.77 .001		8.66 .124	

D6. Race or ethnicity

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	412	206 50%	205 50%	166 40%	238 58%	295 72%	101 25%	96 23%	156 38%	154 37%	343 83%	60 15%	26 6%	86 21%	318 77%
White	81%	78%	85%	80%	82%	88%	62%	72%	83%	85%	98%	12%	4%	37%	94%
Hispanic / Latino	13	15	11	16	10	8	25	23	11	8	2	87	4	48	2
Asian	3	4	2	2	4	2	8	3	3	5	0	2	54	10	2
Black / African American	2	2	1	1	2	1	3	-	3	2	-	-	27	2	2
Middle Eastern	1	1	0	-	2	1	2	2	1	1	-	-	12	2	0
Chi Square		4.10 .393		6.83 .145		32.52 .001		17.52 .025			n/a			164.06 .001	

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	412	21 5%	388 94%	89 22%	162 39%	161 39%	193 47%	215 52%	400 97%	11 3%	373 91%	39 9%	248 60%	164 40%
White	81%	62%	82%	76%	77%	88%	82%	80%	82%	73%	89%	5%	81%	82%
Hispanic / Latino	13	24	12	18	15	7	12	13	13	18	4	95	13	13
Asian	3	10	3	3	4	3	3	4	3	9	4	-	3	4
Black / African American	2	5	2	-	3	1	3	1	2	-	2	-	2	1
Middle Eastern	1	-	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	-	1	1
Chi Square		7.15 .128		14.39 .072			2.62 .623		1.75 .782		264.26 .001		0.80 .938	

D7. Immigrant / refugee (self or child)

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	400	200 50%	199 50%	162 41%	230 58%	285 71%	99 25%	94 24%	150 38%	150 38%	335 84%	52 13%	21 5%	81 20%	312 78%
Yes	20%	22%	19%	25%	17%	14%	39%	27%	17%	20%	10%	79%	52%	100%	-
No	78	77	79	75	80	85	60	71	82	78	90	13	48	-	100
Refused	2	2	2	-	3	1	1	2	1	2	1	8	-	-	-
Chi Square		0.48 .787		7.63 .022		27.92 .001		4.47 .346			n/a			393.00 .001	

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	400	21 5%	376 94%	87 22%	158 40%	155 39%	185 46%	211 53%	388 97%	11 3%	363 91%	37 9%	243 61%	157 39%
Yes	20%	38%	19%	24%	23%	15%	18%	23%	20%	45%	13%	86%	21%	19%
No	78	62	79	74	75	84	79	76	79	45	85	5	78	78
Refused	2	-	1	2	3	1	3	1	2	9	1	8	1	3
Chi Square		4.45 .108		5.80 .215			3.01 .222		8.53 .014		125.30 .001		1.11 .574	

D8. Identify with a disability

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	400	200 50%	199 50%	162 41%	230 58%	285 71%	99 25%	94 24%	150 38%	150 38%	335 84%	52 13%	21 5%	81 20%	312 78%
Yes	5%	3%	8%	1%	8%	4%	8%	15%	1%	3%	4%	10%	14%	10%	4%
No	94	98	90	99	91	95	91	85	97	96	96	88	86	90	96
Refused	1	-	2	-	1	0	1	-	1	1	1	2	-	-	0
Chi Square		9.36 .009		10.84 .004		2.89 .236		24.16 .001			n/a			4.38 .112	

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	400	21 5%	376 94%	87 22%	158 40%	155 39%	185 46%	211 53%	388 97%	11 3%	363 91%	37 9%	243 61%	157 39%
Yes	5%	100%	-	13%	4%	3%	6%	5%	5%	9%	5%	11%	5%	5%
No	94	-	100	85	96	97	93	95	94	91	95	86	94	94
Refused	1	-	-	2	1	-	1	0	1	-	1	3	0	1
Chi Square		397.00 .001		16.75 .002			0.78 .675		0.41 .814		4.72 .094		0.96 .618	

D9. Household income

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	400	200	199	162	230	285	99	94	150	150	335	52	21	81	312
		50%	50%	41%	58%	71%	25%	24%	38%	38%	84%	13%	5%	20%	78%
Under 30k	5%	4%	6%	4%	5%	5%	4%	11%	4%	3%	5%	8%	-	6%	5%
30-50k	17	18	16	15	18	12	27	31	20	4	16	23	14	20	16
50-75k	29	30	29	25	32	26	38	24	41	21	27	33	43	33	28
75-100K	11	10	12	12	10	10	11	11	13	7	10	15	10	11	10
Above 100K	39	40	38	44	34	47	19	23	22	65	42	21	33	30	42
Chi Square		1.45		5.45		28.50			92.50		n/a			4.04	
		.835		.244		.001			.001					.401	

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	400	21	376	87	158	155	185	211	388	11	363	37	243	157
		5%	94%	22%	40%	39%	46%	53%	97%	3%	91%	9%	61%	39%
Under 30k	5%	19%	4%	23%	-	-	5%	5%	5%	9%	5%	3%	5%	5%
30-50k	17	33	15	77	-	-	15	18	17	18	15	30	14	20
50-75k	29	14	30	-	73	-	29	29	29	27	28	41	28	31
75-100K	11	14	10	-	27	-	10	11	10	18	10	16	12	9
Above 100K	39	19	40	-	-	100	41	37	39	27	42	11	42	34
Chi Square		16.83			800.00		1.26		1.41		15.89		4.36	
		.002			.001		.868		.843		.003		.360	

D10. Gender identity

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	400	200 50%	199 50%	162 41%	230 58%	285 71%	99 25%	94 24%	150 38%	150 38%	335 84%	52 13%	21 5%	81 20%	312 78%
Male / CIS Male	46%	47%	46%	48%	45%	47%	43%	45%	45%	49%	47%	44%	48%	41%	47%
Female / CIS Female	53	52	54	52	54	53	55	55	53	51	52	56	52	59	52
Trans Female	1	1	-	-	1	-	2	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	1
Other non-binary	1	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	1
Chi Square		4.14 .247		3.10 .377		6.67 .083		7.00 .321			n/a			2.32 .509	

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	400	21 5%	376 94%	87 22%	158 40%	155 39%	185 46%	211 53%	388 97%	11 3%	363 91%	37 9%	243 61%	157 39%
Male / Cis Male	46%	52%	46%	44%	45%	49%	100%	-	47%	36%	47%	41%	45%	48%
Female / CIS Female	53	48	53	55	54	50	-	100	52	64	52	59	54	51
Trans Female	1	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	0	1
Other non-binary	1	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	-
Chi Square		0.53 .912		2.87 .825			396.00 .001		0.62 .892		1.04 .791		1.81 .613	

D11. Orientation

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	400	200 50%	199 50%	162 41%	230 58%	285 71%	99 25%	94 24%	150 38%	150 38%	335 84%	52 13%	21 5%	81 20%	312 78%
Straight	97%	97%	97%	96%	98%	98%	95%	94%	97%	99%	97%	96%	95%	94%	98%
Gay / Lesbian	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	-	1	-	-	1	1
Bisexual	2	2	2	2	1	1	4	3	2	-	1	4	5	5	1
Chi Square		2.00 .736		4.02 .403		5.99 .200		13.76 .088			n/a			8.71 .069	

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	400	21 5%	376 94%	87 22%	158 40%	155 39%	185 46%	211 53%	388 97%	11 3%	363 91%	37 9%	243 61%	157 39%
Straight	97%	95%	97%	97%	97%	97%	98%	96%	100%	-	97%	97%	97%	97%
Gay / Lesbian	1	-	1	2	1	1	2	0	-	36	1	-	2	-
Bisexual	2	5	1	1	3	1	1	2	-	55	1	3	1	2
Chi Square		1.89 .756		6.99 .538			5.24 .263		399.00 .001		1.01 .909		5.07 .280	

D12. Neighborhood

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	400	200 50%	199 50%	162 41%	230 58%	285 71%	99 25%	94 24%	150 38%	150 38%	335 84%	52 13%	21 5%	81 20%	312 78%
Incorporated area	61%	62%	60%	65%	58%	60%	63%	56%	61%	64%	60%	60%	62%	63%	61%
Unincorporated area (CDPs)	39	39	40	35	42	40	37	44	39	36	40	40	38	37	39
Chi Square		0.06 .806		1.95 .163		0.21 .645		1.41 .493			n/a			0.15 .695	

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	400	21 5%	376 94%	87 22%	158 40%	155 39%	185 46%	211 53%	388 97%	11 3%	363 91%	37 9%	243 61%	157 39%
Incorporated area	61%	62%	61%	54%	60%	65%	59%	62%	61%	73%	61%	59%	100%	-
Unincorporated area (CDPs)	39	38	39	46	40	35	41	38	39	27	39	41	-	100
Chi Square		0.01 .927		2.94 .230			0.41 .520		0.66 .415		0.03 .866		400.00 .001	

D13. Language of interview

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	400	200 50%	199 50%	162 41%	230 58%	285 71%	99 25%	94 24%	150 38%	150 38%	335 84%	52 13%	21 5%	81 20%	312 78%
English	91%	89%	93%	88%	93%	95%	78%	82%	93%	93%	99%	29%	100%	60%	99%
Spanish	9	12	7	12	7	5	22	18	7	7	1	71	-	40	1
Chi Square		2.36 .124		2.73 .099		25.91 .001		10.97 .004			n/a			122.91 .001	

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	400	21 5%	376 94%	87 22%	158 40%	155 39%	185 46%	211 53%	388 97%	11 3%	363 91%	37 9%	243 61%	157 39%
English	91%	81%	91%	86%	87%	97%	92%	90%	91%	91%	100%	-	91%	90%
Spanish	9	19	9	14	13	3	8	10	9	9	-	100	9	10
Chi Square		2.68 .102		13.43 .001			0.63 .429		0.00 .983		400.00 .001		0.03 .866	

D14. Zip code

	Total	Age		Children in household		Home ownership		Education			Race			Immigrant	
		Under 50	50+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	HS or less	Trade school	College degree	White	Hispanic	Others	Yes	No
	400	200 50%	199 50%	162 41%	230 58%	285 71%	99 25%	94 24%	150 38%	150 38%	335 84%	52 13%	21 5%	81 20%	312 78%
97004 Beaver Creek	4%	4%	5%	4%	5%	5%	2%	5%	4%	3%	5%	2%	-	4%	4%
97009 Boring	5	6	4	6	3	5	5	4	5	4	5	6	5	6	4
97011 Mt Hood	1	-	1	-	1	1	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	1
97013 Canby	7	8	6	7	6	6	6	3	9	7	7	6	-	2	8
97015 Clackamas	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	2	5	2	3
97017 Colton	3	3	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	-	1	3
97022 Eagle Creek	1	1	-	1	0	0	1	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	1
97023 Estacada	6	7	6	5	7	4	12	5	7	6	5	12	14	10	5
97027 Gladstone	2	2	2	3	1	2	2	3	1	2	2	-	5	1	2
97028 Govt Camp	1	-	1	1	0	1	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	1
97034 Lake Oswego	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	3	1	3	1	6	-	4	2
97035 Lake Oswego	3	3	4	2	4	4	2	3	2	5	3	4	5	1	4
97038 Molalla	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	6	3	4	4	-	2	5
97042 Mulino	2	1	4	2	3	3	1	1	3	3	3	6	-	2	2

RILEY RESEARCH ASSOCIATES

Research for Marketing, Public Relations, and Planning

97045 Oregon City	11	12	10	12	10	11	13	11	10	13	11	6	24	10	11
97049 Mt Hood	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	-	3	1	1	-	-	1	1
97055 Sandy	4	4	5	4	4	5	2	4	5	3	5	-	-	2	5
97067 Mt Hood	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	2	-	1	1	-	-	1	1
97068 West Linn	5	4	7	4	6	6	3	2	5	7	6	4	-	7	4
97070 Wilsonville	3	3	3	4	2	2	4	3	3	3	2	8	-	5	2
97086 Happy Valley	5	6	4	7	3	4	7	5	4	5	4	6	10	7	4
97089 Damascus	4	6	3	5	4	4	6	4	1	7	4	4	5	7	4
97222 Milwaukie	6	7	6	8	5	7	5	7	7	5	7	4	5	5	7
97267 Oak Grove	14	13	14	10	16	13	13	17	16	9	13	17	24	10	14
Others	4	2	5	4	3	4	1	3	3	4	4	4	-	6	3
Chi Square		19.75 .711		19.22 .740		23.34 .500			38.29 .841			n/a		22.50 .549	

D14. Zip code

	Total	Disability		Household income			Gender		Orientation		Interview language		Neighborhood	
		Yes	No	<50k	50-100K	>100K	Male	Female	Straight	LGBTQ	English	Spanish	Incorp	Unincorp
	400	21 5%	376 94%	87 22%	158 40%	155 39%	185 46%	211 53%	388 97%	11 3%	363 91%	37 9%	243 61%	157 39%
97004 Beavercreek	4%	10%	4%	6%	4%	3%	3%	5%	4%	-	5%	-	-	11%
97009 Boring	5	5	5	6	3	6	6	3	4	9	4	5	-	11
97011 Mt Hood	1	-	1	-	1	1	1	0	1	-	1	-	-	1
97013 Canby	7	10	6	7	6	7	8	5	7	-	7	5	11	-
97015 Clackamas	3	5	2	2	4	2	4	2	3	-	3	3	-	7
97017 Colton	3	-	3	2	4	2	3	3	3	9	3	-	-	7
97022 Eagle Creek	1	-	1	1	-	1	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	1
97023 Estacada	6	5	6	5	8	5	5	7	6	-	5	16	10	-
97027 Gladstone	2	5	2	5	1	1	2	2	2	-	2	-	3	-
97028 Govt Camp	1	-	1	1	-	1	1	0	1	-	1	-	-	1
97034 Lake Oswego	2	5	2	-	2	3	2	2	2	-	2	5	3	-
97035 Lake Oswego	3	10	3	1	1	6	4	3	3	-	3	3	5	-
97038 Molalla	5	-	5	6	7	1	3	6	5	-	4	5	7	-
97042 Mulino	2	-	2	1	2	3	3	2	2	-	2	3	-	6

RILEY RESEARCH ASSOCIATES

Research for Marketing, Public Relations, and Planning

97045 Oregon City	11	5	11	8	11	12	11	11	10	36	12	-	18	-
97049 Mt Hood	1	-	1	-	3	1	2	1	1	-	1	-	-	3
97055 Sandy	4%	5%	4%	6%	5%	3%	5%	3%	4%	-	5%	-	7%	-
97067 Mt Hood	1	-	1	-	1	1	1	0	1	-	1	-	-	2
97068 West Linn	5	5	5	3	2	9	4	6	5	9	6	-	8	-
97070 Wilsonville	3	-	3	-	5	2	2	4	3	-	2	11	5	-
97086 Happy Valley	5	10	5	3	4	6	5	5	5	-	4	8	8	-
97089 Damascus	4	5	4	5	3	6	3	5	4	-	4	5	-	11
97222 Milwaukie	6	5	6	8	6	5	6	6	6	18	7	3	10	-
97267 Oak Grove	14	14	14	20	16	8	14	14	14	9	13	22	-	35
Others	4	-	4	5	2	5	4	3	3	9	3	5	4	3
Chi Square		12.77 .970		67.89 .031			13.73 .952		18.89 .758		36.31 .051		386.52 .001	